



Inspecting policing  
in the public interest

**Revisiting police  
relationships:  
progress report**

**South Yorkshire Police  
December 2012**

## About this review

In 2011, the Home Secretary asked Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) to look at "instances of undue influence, inappropriate contractual arrangements and other abuses of power in police relationships with the media and other parties". The resulting report, *Without Fear or Favour*, published in December 2011, found no evidence of endemic corruption in the Police Service. However, we did not issue a clean bill of health:

- Few forces provided any policy or guidance around appropriate relationships between the police and the media and others;
- There was a general lack of clarity around acceptance of gifts and hospitality; use of corporate credit cards; and second jobs for officers and staff, which could leave forces vulnerable to (at least the perception of) corruption; and
- Few forces and authorities had proactive and effective systems in place to identify, monitor and manage these issues.

We made several recommendations to help the service address these issues, and committed to revisiting forces in 2012 to track progress.

The revisit found that while forces have made some progress, particularly around putting in place processes and policies to manage threats to integrity, more needs to be done. The pace of change also needs to increase, not least to demonstrate to the public that the service is serious about managing integrity issues, which have retained a high media profile over the last year.

A thematic report, *Revisiting Police Relationships: A progress report* is available from [www.hmic.gov.uk](http://www.hmic.gov.uk), and gives more information about what we found across England and Wales. The rest of this report focuses on what we found in South Yorkshire.

This time HMIC is publishing force-level reports. This is so the public and the new Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) can see how their force has progressed since 2011.

A note on the scope of our review: Since our 2011 inspection, questions around police integrity and corruption have continued to be asked. For instance, the Leveson Inquiry has looked at relationships between officers and journalists (among other things), while investigations into senior officers and into the handling of historic investigations (such as the Hillsborough disaster) have received widespread media coverage. The findings in this report relate only to police relationships with the media and others, rather than broader issues of police integrity.

## Findings for South Yorkshire

Since 2011 South Yorkshire Police has conducted a force-wide integrity 'healthcheck', using the Self-Assessment Checklist provided in HMIC's 2011 report, *Without Fear or Favour*. Several policies (including those on relationships with the media, acceptance of gifts and hospitality, social media use, and police officers having second jobs) have been updated or are in the process of being reviewed – although more needs to be done to let staff know about this.

### ■ How are press relations handled, and information leaks investigated?

The force is updating its media policy. The Corporate Communications Department has a dedicated media desk and a small team to assist the districts. The team also manages online activity, including the force's websites and intranet. Staff are encouraged to engage with the media to publicise successful operational outcomes, although not all contact with journalists will necessarily be recorded.

Between September 2011 and May 2012, the force did not investigate any instances of inappropriate disclosure to the media.

The force is planning a campaign to publicise its policy on how police officers and staff should behave on social networking sites (such as Twitter and Facebook). This covers the standards of behaviour expected when staff are both at work and off duty. The force monitors whether these rules are being adhered to and if information is being leaked online. HMIC's independently commissioned research identified five cases of potentially inappropriate behaviour on Facebook or Twitter by members of staff at South Yorkshire Police, which were referred back to the force.

### ■ Is there more clarity around acceptance of gifts and hospitality, procurement, and second jobs?

In 2011 we found that South Yorkshire Police was keeping a record of the **gifts and hospitality** received by officers and staff – but this was on multiple gift and hospitality registers, held in different police stations, which made it more difficult to monitor and identify any problems. The force is working to merge these into a single electronic register, overseen by the head of the Professional Standards Department (PSD).

There is currently no monitoring in place to cross-reference contract and **procurement** registers with the gifts and hospitality register to ensure the integrity of the procurement process (e.g. to look out for instances where a company provides hospitality, and then is awarded a contract). There is a business code of conduct for those tendering to supply goods and services which requires them to sign a declaration of impartiality, and the tender and contract documentation stresses the rules on these matters to bidders and contractors.

South Yorkshire Police's policy for **second jobs** routes all requests through divisional or departmental heads, then to PSD, and finally to Human Resources. The final decision is taken by the head of PSD. Since September 2011 there have been 148 applications for second jobs, all of which have been approved.

## How does the force identify, monitor and manage potential integrity issues?

We found that the police authority had arrangements in place to monitor and govern integrity issues, although further work is needed. The recently elected PCC will need to be satisfied with the governance and reporting mechanisms for these issues.

Data provided by the force to HMIC shows that there has been no change in the number of staff working in the anti-corruption unit since our 2011 inspection. Between September 2011 and May 2012, the force instigated 69 investigations into the conduct of its officers and staff in relation to the areas covered by this report. HMIC has monitored the progress of the widely reported investigations into historic questions of integrity such as Hillsborough, although because these started after May 2012 they are not included in these figures.

The force has provided a combination of classroom and computer-based training on integrity issues since our last inspection. Changes to policy are communicated via email, briefings and intranet systems, and there is a mechanism in place to check that officers and staff have read and understood them.

## Next steps

HMIC will continue to inspect on integrity issues as part of our existing programme of force inspections.

© HMIC 2012  
ISBN: 978-1-78246-074-9  
[www.hmic.gov.uk](http://www.hmic.gov.uk)