



Inspecting policing
in the public interest

**Revisiting police
relationships:
progress report**

**Dyfed-Powys Police
December 2012**

About this review

In 2011, the Home Secretary asked Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) to look at "instances of undue influence, inappropriate contractual arrangements and other abuses of power in police relationships with the media and other parties". The resulting report, *Without Fear or Favour*, published in December 2011, found no evidence of endemic corruption in the Police Service. However, we did not issue a clean bill of health:

- Few forces provided any policy or guidance around appropriate relationships between the police and the media and others;
- There was a general lack of clarity around acceptance of gifts and hospitality; use of corporate credit cards; and second jobs for officers and staff, which could leave forces vulnerable to (at least the perception of) corruption; and
- Few forces and authorities had proactive and effective systems in place to identify, monitor and manage these issues.

We made several recommendations to help the service address these issues, and committed to revisiting forces in 2012 to track progress.

The revisit found that while forces have made some progress, particularly around putting in place processes and policies to manage threats to integrity, more needs to be done. The pace of change also needs to increase, not least to demonstrate to the public that the service is serious about managing integrity issues, which have retained a high media profile over the last year.

A thematic report, *Revisiting Police Relationships: A progress report* is available from www.hmic.gov.uk, and gives more information about what we found across England and Wales. The rest of this report focuses on what we found in Dyfed-Powys.

This time HMIC is publishing force-level reports. This is so the public and the new Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) can see how their force has progressed since 2011.

A note on the scope of our review: Since our 2011 inspection, questions around police integrity and corruption have continued to be asked. For instance, the Leveson Inquiry has looked at relationships between officers and journalists (among other things), while investigations into senior officers and into the handling of historic investigations (such as the Hillsborough disaster) have received widespread media coverage. The findings in this report relate only to police relationships with the media and others, rather than broader issues of police integrity.

Findings for Dyfed-Powys

Since 2011 Dyfed-Powys Police has conducted an integrity 'healthcheck', using the Self-Assessment Checklist provided in HMIC's 2011 report, *Without Fear or Favour*, and the recommendations of the Filkin¹ report. Several policies (covering relationships with the media, acceptance of gifts and hospitality, social media use and police officers having second jobs) have either been updated or are in the process of being reviewed – although more needs to be done to let staff know about this.

How are press relations handled, and information leaks investigated?

A comprehensive media policy is in place. This outlines how relationships with the press should work, and stipulates that staff and officers must notify the Press Office of all contact with journalists. This is in line with the national guidance on relationships with the media produced by the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). We found that staff knew about these rules.

Between September 2011 and May 2012, the force has investigated four instances of inappropriate disclosures to the media, three of which were continuing at the time of the inspection.

The force has recently introduced a new policy on how police officers and staff should behave on social networking sites (such as Twitter and Facebook). This covers the standards of behaviour expected when staff are both at work and off duty. There are electronic systems monitoring external sites to assess whether these rules are being adhered to, or if information is being leaked online.

HMIC's independently commissioned research identified two cases of potentially inappropriate behaviour on Facebook or Twitter by officers and staff in Dyfed-Powys Police, both of which have been referred back to the force.

Is there more clarity around acceptance of gifts and hospitality, procurement, and second jobs?

In 2011, we found that Dyfed-Powys Police was recording **gifts and hospitality** received by officers and staff in multiple paper-based registers, which made it more difficult to monitor and identify any problems. A single electronic register has now been introduced, and is overseen by the head of the Professional Standards Department (PSD).

¹ Elizabeth Filkin (2012) *The Ethical Issues Arising from the Relationship Between Police and Media*. Advice to the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis and his Management Board. Available from www.met.police.uk

There is currently no monitoring in place to cross-reference contract and **procurement** registers with the gifts and hospitality register to ensure the integrity of the procurement process (e.g. to look out for instances where a company provides hospitality, and is then awarded a contract).

Dyfed-Powys Police's policy for **second jobs** currently routes all police staff requests through the Human Resources department. This was due to change, so that requests for all staff will be directed through PSD. Since September 2011 there have been 57 applications for second jobs, all of which have been approved.

How does the force identify, monitor and manage potential integrity issues?

The police authority continued to exercise oversight and governance over integrity issues. The recently elected PCC will need to be satisfied with the governance and reporting mechanisms for these issues.

Data provided by the force to HMIC shows that there has been no change in the number of staff working in the anti-corruption unit since our 2011 inspection. Between September 2011 and May 2012, the force instigated 31 investigations into the conduct of its officers and staff in relation to the areas covered by this report.

There has been some training on integrity issues since our last inspection, although the police authority raised some concerns about the reduction in staff training overall as part of the ongoing spending review. Changes to policy are communicated via email and intranet systems, but there is no mechanism to check that officers and staff have read and understood them.

Next steps

HMIC will continue to inspect on integrity issues as part of our existing programme of force inspections.