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This literature review was carried out in partnership with HMIC and HMCPSI to inform the inspection of the investigation and prosecution of domestic violence-related offences. The brief for the review was to examine UK and relevant international research in the following areas, each of which corresponds to a particular section within the report:

- The extent of domestic violence and domestic homicide, including financial costs and risk factors.
- The UK legal and policy framework for domestic violence, particularly that relating to the criminal justice system.
- Survivor perspectives on services, including voluntary sector responses such as the women’s refuge movement and issues relating to service-user consultation and safety planning.
- Multi-agency responses that relate to initiatives involving the criminal justice system, including the role of multi-agency partnerships, assessing and managing risk, information sharing, institutional audits and fatality reviews.
- Police responses to domestic violence, including reporting and recording, positive action and arrest policies, investigation and evidence gathering.
- Prosecution responses to domestic violence, including CPS policies and practice, issues of evidence and the victim’s role in the prosecution process.
- Court and judicial responses to domestic violence including sentencing and links between the criminal, civil and family legal systems.
- To identify key questions for future research and to summarise the ‘gaps’ in current knowledge.

Issues that are not covered, or not covered in detail, include literature relating to the following:

- Psychological and social impact of domestic violence on adult and child victims.
- Theories and research relating to the history and causes of domestic violence.
- The civil and family legal systems, including the system of civil protection orders and legal proceedings relating to divorce, child protection and child contact.
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• Research relating to behavioural programmes aimed at perpetrators of domestic violence and educational publicity campaigns relating to domestic violence.

• The responses of agencies outside the criminal justice system such as the health sector, unless they relate to multi-agency initiatives involving criminal justice agencies.

However, references are made to these issues to emphasise the importance of integrating current knowledge into existing criminal justice responses. The authors have avoided as far as possible duplication of the literature reviews contained in the volume edited in 2001 by Taylor-Browne, What Works in Reducing Domestic Violence? The chapters in that collection are extended versions of a set of briefing notes commissioned by the Home Office, Policing and Reducing Crime Unit in 1999.

A combination of methods were used for this research including the following:

• Searches of reference material held by the National Centre for Policing Excellence and the National Police Library at Centrex Bramshill.

• Searches of abstracting sources using a variety of key words (e.g. Domestic violence, domestic abuse, family violence, domestic violence & police/policing, domestic violence prosecutions and trials, investigating domestic violence).

• Online searches using the search engine google.com using the same key words.

• Contacting academic and practitioner colleagues in the UK and internationally for relevant published and unpublished material.

• Early drafts of the review were distributed to two “critical readers”, Davina James-Hanman (Greater London Domestic Violence Project) and Professor Liz Kelly (Child and Woman Abuse Studies Unit, London Metropolitan University) and to a selection of specialists identified by HMIC and HMCPSI including Jerry Hyde (HMCPSI), Reg Pengelly (HMIC), Bridie Smurthwaite (HMCPSI) and Nadine Tilbury (CPS). The authors are very grateful for the insights and suggestions that these individuals have contributed.
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1. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE & DOMESTIC HOMICIDE

1.1. Definitions and Terminology

A review of government publications in 2001 identified 14 different definitions of domestic violence, indicating what has been described as a “definitional crisis.” In this literature review the term ‘domestic violence’ refers to the definition used for Best Value Performance Indicators (BVPI); “threatening behaviour, violence or abuse (psychological, physical, sexual, financial or emotional) between adults who are or have been intimate partners or are family members, regardless of gender.” This is different from the CPS definition which is not limited to adults and, in accordance with its remit within the criminal justice system, is restricted to “any criminal offence arising out of physical, sexual, psychological, emotional or financial abuse by one person against a current or former partner in a close relationship, or against a current or former family member.” The BVPI definition is not restricted to domestic violence within an intimate relationship as is the case with most crime surveys and it is also gender neutral. Other terms, which often have different definitions, include ‘intimate partner violence’, ‘family violence’ and ‘domestic abuse’, but ‘domestic violence’ is most commonly used in the context of the British criminal justice system.

This report uses the term ‘domestic homicide’ to describe killings (including murder and manslaughter) by a person who is a current/former intimate partner or family member of the victim and includes murders of children by a parent or step-parent. The term ‘intimate partner homicide’ is used to describe one particular sub category of ‘domestic homicide’ when the victim and perpetrator were current or former partners.

Other issues in relation to terminology concern the words used to describe those who experience and perpetrate domestic violence. Some people who experience domestic violence see themselves as ‘victims’, but that definition is unlikely to adequately reflect those individuals’ experience over time. The term ‘survivor’ focuses on the resilience, strength, and resourcefulness that many people reveal when they experience domestic violence. No one word adequately reflects the experiences of all people in this situation. Both terms are used in this research depending on the particular context, with ‘victim’ being the term most commonly used to describe status within the criminal justice system. At points in this review reference is made to adult and child victims or survivors of domestic violence. The BVPI definition is restricted to adults, but it is recognised here that when children live in a home where there is domestic violence it is also a form of child abuse. The term ‘perpetrator’ will generally be used to describe those responsible for the violence, although ‘offender’ will be used in the context of those convicted of domestic violence-related offences. The terminology used will be gender-neutral, except where research is gender specific, such as that focussing on female victims and male perpetrators.

10 Taken from Audit Commission (2001) Best Value Performance Indicator No. 153
12 Smith, L. (1989) op. cit. at 1-2.
1.2. Nature and Extent

1.2.1. Domestic Violence

The definition of ‘domestic violence’ adopted in this review refers to a range of behaviour, much of which is criminal, some of which is not. The ‘Power and Control’ wheel is one model used in some training courses and domestic violence perpetrator programmes to explain the different controlling behaviours that make up coercive patterns of control and abuse.\textsuperscript{14} The wheel describes the dynamics of domestic violence including physical and sexual assaults, coercion, threats, intimidation, verbal attacks, blame, isolation, economic control, the abuse of authority, use of loved ones and the minimisation and denial of violence by the perpetrator. This particular model focuses on domestic violence by men against female partners, although some of the dynamics may be relevant to other relationships.

Domestic violence has been strongly linked to the physical abuse of children and estimates of the overlap range from 30-60%.\textsuperscript{15} The perpetrator’s abuse may include: threats to harm children, pets or others; allegations relating to parenting; threats to report the partner to social services; and using contact with children to prevent a victim from leaving.\textsuperscript{16} Some perpetrators use legal proceedings and contact with children as a way to control their partner after separation\textsuperscript{17} and where bisexual women and lesbians are victims of domestic violence, fears of losing custody disputes may be exacerbated.\textsuperscript{18} There has also been found to be a correlation between animal abuse and adult domestic violence and abuse of children.\textsuperscript{19}

Low levels of reporting means that we cannot appreciate the full extent of domestic violence.\textsuperscript{20} For example, in the 1996 British Crime Survey over half those who identified themselves as having experienced a domestic assault said that they had not told anyone about the last attack.\textsuperscript{21} However, we do know that domestic violence is widespread. The World Health Organisation found that in surveys from around the world, 10-69% of women report being physically assaulted by an intimate partner at some point in their lives.\textsuperscript{22} The Scottish Crime Survey in 1999 and the British Crime Survey in 2000 both found that


\textsuperscript{20} Smith (1989) op. cit. at 6-14.


domestic violence accounted for 23% of all violent crime. One in twenty incidents of crime reported to the 2000 British Crime Survey were classified as domestic violence.

Innovative research methods have been developed in recognition of the fact that traditional crime surveys do not provide reliable measures of the extent of domestic violence. In the UK, prevalence research suggests that domestic violence has occurred to between one quarter and one third of women, depending on how wide a definition is applied and the methodologies used. In the self-completion module of the 1996 British Crime Survey, which was developed as a more valid measure of domestic violence, it was found that one in four women and one in seven men reported a physical assault by a current or former partner in their lifetime. In a recent study of the prevalence of domestic violence in pregnancy, 2.5% of women had experienced domestic violence in their current pregnancy. Using British Crime Survey and police reporting data, it has been estimated that an incident of domestic violence occurs in the UK every six to twenty seconds. The British Crime Survey 2000 found that 57% of domestic violence victims were repeat victims and that “no other type of crime has a rate of repeat victimisation as high as that for domestic violence.” The danger posed by domestic violence offenders also extends to violent offences against strangers, including rape and sexual assaults.

Rape and harassment statistics provide another perspective on the extent of domestic violence. The overlaps between rape, sexual assault and domestic violence have been a neglected area of research although studies suggest that between 10% and 14% of married or cohabiting women have been raped at least once by their partners. Of rapes reported by women in the self-completion surveys for the 1998 and 2000 British Crime Surveys, almost half were committed by perpetrators who were the victim’s current partner at the time of the incident. Studies suggest that rape by a partner often involves extreme


26 For examples see, Mirlees-Black (1999) op. cit.; Mooney, J. (1993) The Hidden Figure: Domestic Violence in North London (Centre for Criminology: Middlesex University and Islington Council) at 27

27 Mirlees-Black (1999) op. cit.


30 Kershaw et al (2000) op. cit. at 38


violence.\textsuperscript{35} The Understanding and Responding to Hate Crime Project, which analysed domestic sexual assault cases in the Metropolitan police area for three months in 2001 found that the injuries experienced by victims were more serious than those assaults by strangers.\textsuperscript{36} Across all jurisdictions studied, a large proportion of harassment and ‘stalking’ cases involve former partners and there are clear links between this behaviour and domestic violence and domestic homicide by men against women.\textsuperscript{37} A US review of ‘stalking’ research identified that in 50%-60% of cases victims were former intimate partners and were most likely to be female.\textsuperscript{38} In a Home Office evaluation of the effectiveness of anti-harassment legislation, a third of cases involved former partners.\textsuperscript{39} Research analyses of ‘stalking’ cases generally reveal that perpetrators who ‘stalk’ former intimate partners subject them to more serious forms of violent and threatening behaviour than in stranger cases.\textsuperscript{40}

The 2001 British Crime Survey contained an ‘Interpersonal violence’ self completion module which covered domestic violence, sexual assault and ‘stalking’ and “will attempt to provide the most accurate prevalence estimates yet for these crimes.”\textsuperscript{41} The results from this module are due to be published in Summer 2003.

1.2.2. Domestic Homicide

Homicide statistics provide an insight into one manifestation of domestic violence. Taking an annual average of homicides in the UK from 1991 to 2001 we know that 35% were ‘domestic homicides’ (committed by a family member, partner or ex-partner).\textsuperscript{42} Over that period, every year, on average 141 women and girls were killed in ‘domestic homicides’ amounting to 61% of all females murdered. In contrast, an average of 81 men and boys were killed annually by a family member or current or former partner, which amounts to 20% of all males murdered. In the case of ‘intimate partner homicides’ (committed by current or former partners) the gender differences are even clearer. Every year, since 1991, on average 97 women have been killed by a current or former partner (a total of 42% of all women killed). On average 28 men have been killed annually by a current or former partner which amounts to 7% of all men murdered. In an analysis of homicide data from 1985 to 1994 in Scotland, England and Wales it was concluded that one in five of male partner homicides were by gay partners but it was “quite rare” for homicides of partners in lesbian relationships.\textsuperscript{43}

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\item Kielinger and Stanko (2002) op. cit. at 5
\item Douglas & Dutton (2001) op. cit. at 28
\item Myhill and Allen (2002) op. cit. at 63
\end{thebibliography}
These striking gender differentials have been studied by a number of researchers. On the basis of his US research, Websdale states:

intimate partner homicide is a profoundly gendered affair. Not only do men commit the bulk of these offences, they do so for different reasons than women who kill their intimate partners. Men typically kill as part of an on-going abuse directed at women; women nearly always kill in self-defence.

Other researchers in the field make the following observations:

Men perpetrate familicidal massacres, killing spouse and children together; women do not. Men commonly hunt down and kill wives who have left them; women hardly ever behave similarly. Men kill wives as part of planned murder-suicides; analogous acts by women are almost unheard of. Men kill in response to revelations of infidelity; women almost never respond similarly.

The Homicide in Britain study has examined different types of murder, their contexts, circumstances, motivations and intentions and includes a focus on the killing of intimate partners and children. Publication of the full results is forthcoming, but preliminary findings correspond with other research findings. For example, of the women convicted of murder, a number of the killings occurred in the context of violent abuse by the male partner. There is a need for further empirical research to understand the patterns, pre-cursors and gender dimensions of all domestic homicides, familicides, murder-suicides and cases in which parents kill children.

In the UK, the annual number of domestic homicides appears to have remained relatively consistent over the last decade. However, in other countries, there appears to have been a reduction in the numbers of some categories of domestic homicide. For example, in the United States, since 1976, the number of men killed by intimate partners has dropped by 68%, whilst the number of women killed by intimates was relatively stable until 1993 when it too began to drop through to 1995, after which it remained relatively stable through to 2000. In their review of the literature on the US rate of intimate partner homicides, Browne et al conclude that whilst men may be safer, partly due to services to support female victims of domestic violence, “societal remedies are still not sufficient to address underlying causes of male violence against their female intimates.”


45 Websdale (1999) op. cit. at 25


One study of 2001 showed that in the UK on Thursday 28th September of those requesting help from the police, 81% were female victims attacked by men, 8% were male victims attacked by women, 4% were women attacked by women and 7% were men attacked by male perpetrators.\(^{52}\) Despite official reporting rates such as these, some researchers and commentators have claimed that violence by women against men is as prevalent as violence against women by male partners.\(^{53}\) These claims are difficult to reconcile with the empirical certainty that the vast majority of all other categories of reported violence are perpetrated by men.\(^{54}\) For example, in the 2000 British Crime Survey male offenders committed 80% of violent crime.\(^{55}\) Research findings of “gender symmetry” in domestic violence have been criticised for flawed methods, including the sampling of respondents (such as the exclusion of separated partners) and the focus on physical violence to the exclusion of sexual, psychological abuse and harassment.\(^{56}\) Some studies have also been criticised for ignoring the context of violence, including whether there is a pattern of abuse, precipitating events such as those relating to acts of self defence, injuries sustained and the social and economic context of the power relationships between men and women.\(^{57}\)

Domestic violence by men has been found to be generally far more threatening and more likely to lead to serious injuries and increases in women’s fear and anxiety,\(^{58}\) as well as having different motivations in terms of asserting power rather than self defence and escape.\(^{59}\) British Crime Survey research in 1996 found that whilst 4.2% of both men and women said that they had been physically assaulted in the previous year by a current or former partner, women had higher risk during their life time and their chances of serious assault and injury were greater than men’s.\(^{60}\) Women were more likely than men to experience threats, to be repeatedly assaulted and to seek medical help.\(^{61}\) The level of fear and emotional upset was higher for women and had more long lasting effects; women were less likely to be in a financial position to leave the relationship and were at greater risk of violence after separation from their partner.\(^{62}\)

---

52 Stanko (2001) op. cit. at 3
55 Kershaw et al (2000) op. cit. at 38
57 ibid.
60 Mirrlees-Black (1999) op. cit. at 61.
61 ibid.
62 ibid at viii.
the results of the 2000 Scottish Crime Survey, the Scottish Executive commissioned research to examine the issue of domestic abuse against men in Scotland. The study found that a quarter of those men who had initially claimed to have experienced domestic violence later said that they had misinterpreted the term “domestic abuse” and of those that had experienced abuse, it was generally less frequent and less severe than that experienced by female victims.

1.2.4. Domestic violence and diverse communities

Domestic violence is perpetrated and experienced by individuals from different communities including those working in the criminal justice system. The difficulties in conducting empirical research relating to the prevalence of domestic violence and patterns of help seeking are particularly pronounced in some communities that may be difficult for researchers to access. These include victims from minority ethnic groups, refugees and asylum seekers and those with insecure immigration status, gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender victims, those who are disabled or suffer from mental illness, older people, travellers, victims with substance misuse problems, those working in the sex industry and those who live in rural areas.

Research suggests that migrant victims under-utilise the criminal justice system or avoid it altogether. For those with insecure immigration status, disincentives to disclosing domestic violence for all victims combine with social isolation, fear of deportation and action relating to immigration status (possibly as a result of threats made by the perpetrator). Other barriers relate to language (including having no linguistic concept of domestic violence), lack of information about domestic violence and the UK system, perceived or anticipated discrimination and fear relating to past negative experiences. Some of these issues face other victims from minority ethnic communities who may experience additional barriers including difficulty accessing appropriate support services and particular racist stereotypes and cultural expectations. For example, some Asian women who leave violent partners risk being condemned for breaking “izzat”, the concept of honour, by which a woman is expected to uphold her own honour, that of her assailant, children, the extended family and the wider community. Some communities can pressure a victim to stay in a violent relationship and some community elders and ‘professionals’, including doctors and lawyers, may support the perpetrator. One manifestation of domestic violence is forced marriage, which can include different forms of abuse by a spouse and other family members. Currently,

64 For example, see Sheehan, D. (Ed) (2000) Domestic Violence by Police Officers (Washington D.C. Behavioural Science Unit, FBI)
66 ibid. at 153
approximately two hundred cases of forced marriage are reported to the Foreign and Commonwealth Office each year, the majority of which involve women and girls from South Asian families, although there are also cases involving boys and families from other parts of the world.\(^71\)

Studies assessing the prevalence of violence in gay and lesbian relationships tend to be small scale and qualitative making it difficult to evaluate levels and patterns of violence.\(^72\) Estimates of the level of abuse in gay and lesbian relationships are problematic not only because of the methodological issues associated with small scale, non-random sampling but also because different definitions of domestic violence have been used to measure prevalence. Issues relating to sexual orientation have been found to exacerbate abuse, including threats of ‘outing’, lack of dedicated support services and homophobic attitudes that can be significant factors within abuse and which limit help seeking.\(^73\)

The 1996 British Crime Survey found that victimisation levels in domestic violence cases were highest amongst those suffering from poor health or disability, but could not determine whether this was a precursor or consequence of the violence.\(^74\) A disabled person may find it more difficult to separate from a perpetrator because they are also the carer or because the disability is used as a way of increasing the victim’s social exclusion and they face particular problems in accessing support services.\(^75\) There is a lack of research highlighting the particular issues facing victims in rural areas, such as physical isolation and problems accessing services.\(^76\)

Several studies focusing on minority ethnic survivors of domestic violence have noted the perceived and actual racism and discrimination by services that can exacerbate the impact of domestic violence and act as barriers to help seeking.\(^77\) The Laming Report of the Victoria Climbié Inquiry observed the effect of fear of accusations of racism on the provision of services designed to protect children and families. In particular, the inquiry noted that staff had been inconsistent with the application of policies because they were unsure if certain practices were culturally acceptable and that challenges to behaviour had not been made for fear of being perceived as racist.\(^78\) Research, therefore, highlights the ways in which racism and discrimination can deter victims from reporting and result in over and under enforcement if they do. We need more research to address variations in experience, but most importantly to inform service providers about the barriers and incentives to help-seeking and the additional needs in diverse communities.


\(^73\) Gadd et al (2002) op. cit.

\(^74\) Mirlees-Black (1999) op. cit. at 32-33


\(^76\) Websdale, N. (1998) Rural Woman Battering and the Justice System: An Ethnography (California: Sage); For one local example of research focusing on a rural area see Hicks, A. (1999) Isolated Incidents: Domestic Violence in Rural Areas (Warwick: Warwickshire Rural Community Council)


1.3. Social and Financial Costs

A recent review of the costs of domestic violence recognised that there is very little systematic research as to the financial costs of domestic violence and that evaluations of domestic violence initiatives need to build in measurements of cost effectiveness.\(^7^9\) The financial cost of domestic violence in Greater London alone was estimated at £278 million annually in 1998.\(^8^0\) This excluded the costs of prosecuting offenders, costs associated with domestic homicide, social security expenditure or working time lost.\(^8^1\) The interim findings of a recent study evaluating the costs of domestic violence estimated that the cost of the domestic homicide of women in England and Wales is approximately £112 million each year.\(^8^2\) The \textit{Day to Count} study measured the impact of domestic violence on some public services on one September day in 2001. It concluded that in the UK, every minute the police receive a call from the public which is recorded as relating to domestic violence, amounting to an average of 3\% of all calls to police for assistance. Victim Support Service England reported that one in fifteen of their referrals on that day were for domestic violence. One in five counselling sessions held in Relate centres in England and Northern Ireland mentioned domestic violence as an issue in the relationship.\(^8^3\) A study has recently been commissioned by the Women and Equality Unit to provide a more comprehensive analysis of the overall economic costs of domestic violence.\(^8^4\)

1.4. Effects of Domestic Violence

1.4.1. Effects on adult victims

Most literature on the effects of domestic violence relates to women victims of male perpetrators.\(^8^5\) Some of the effects described will apply to some male victims and some people experiencing violence in a gay or lesbian relationship, but further studies are needed to examine the dynamics of violence in different relationships, in particular where men are victims of female violence. Research suggests that the level of physical injury caused by domestic violence is high. For example, the 2001/2 British Crime Survey reported that 18\% of domestic violence incidents result in attention from a doctor as compared with 8\% of stranger violence.\(^8^6\)

As well as physical injuries and permanent disability, victims often experience psychological and emotional effects, including shock, fear, social isolation, depression, anxiety, anger, shame, self-blame,


\(^8^1\) \textit{ibid}


\(^8^3\) Stanko (2001) op. cit.

\(^8^4\) See Walby (2003) op. cit.


low self-esteem, social phobias, flashbacks, panic attacks, sleeping and eating problems. Many survivors of domestic violence report that verbal and psychological abuse (including constant criticism, insults, name calling, blame and belittlement) has more long-term impacts than physical violence. These effects have been said to indicate Battered Woman Syndrome, Rape Trauma Syndrome and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. The latter may be less stigmatising terms than ‘Battered Woman Syndrome’ because they are considered normal responses to traumatic events and can apply to any victim of domestic violence, rather than only women.

Repeat victimisation exacerbates the effects of domestic violence and much psychological abuse and control is used by the perpetrator to undermine confidence and self-worth and isolate the victim from others especially friends and family. Most victims develop coping strategies, including focussing on daily survival, minimising violence and focussing on the ‘good times’. Some also turn to alcohol, prescribed or illegal drugs as a survival strategy. However, many victims find internal and external resources which enable them to do more than just cope; they care for their children, hold down a job and are seldom seen by others as ‘a victim’.

1.4.2. Effects on Children

Research has suggested links between domestic violence and child physical and sexual abuse and there is evidence that living with violence has psychological, behavioural and emotional effects on children. Research in this area has usually focused upon children’s responses to domestic violence through interviewing mothers and professionals; however, some research has directly focused upon children’s attitudes towards and experience of domestic violence. The NCH Action for Children study notes that children living with domestic violence often experienced direct physical and sexual assault and that 10% had witnessed their mother being sexually assaulted. One study found that in 90% of domestic violence cases, children had been in the same or an adjacent room when a violent assault took place. Whilst we


90 Walker (1984) op. cit.


92 Dutton and Goodman (1994) ibid at 216 and 221; Herman (1992) op. cit. at 127; Meir (1993) ibid at 1314.

93 For example see Kirkwood (1993) op. cit.

94 Mullender (2001) op. cit.


know that child abuse and domestic violence commonly occur in the same families, we know little about how these forms of violence develop and how informal and formal responses affect the abuse.98

Children are frequently resourceful and creative in their responses to witnessing and experiencing direct violence. This can include calling the police and intervening during violent episodes.99 Interventions vary but typically consist of shouting and other distracting behaviour intended to disrupt the abuse.100 Children experiencing domestic violence develop both short-term and long-term coping strategies that may involve guarding mothers and/or siblings, adapting to the situation and becoming noticeably withdrawn or alternatively, outward looking.101 Part of the explanation for the inconsistency in responses to violence is that children have varying skills and protective factors that affect their ability to cope with domestic violence. For example, research has indicated that children from South Asian backgrounds identify not only the non-abusing parent but also the extended family as a source of support.102 There is a lack of research into how social characteristics such as age, ethnicity, social class, disability and gender affect the ways in which children understand and respond to domestic violence. Williams argues that researchers should adopt methods that examine domestic abuse through a ‘life course perspective’.103 Such an approach would allow researchers to identify the complex interactions between childhood experiences, internal and external resources, and adult experiences and perceptions.

1.5. Risk Factors and Domestic Violence

Current knowledge about risk factors to identify domestic violence cases in which there is a high risk of future harm, such as homicide, is relatively weak and it is generally accepted that a scientific “predictive formula” is not possible.104 Risk assessment, risk management and the related process of safety planning are all discussed later in the review. Focus in this section is on particular issues that indicate risk of repeat victimisation, serious injury and in some cases homicide of adult and child victim and others. Most research focuses on risk in the context of male perpetrators and female victims. Some risk factors, such as separation, are not the same if genders change; for others, evidence is not available. The following summary of the research focuses on risk indicators relating to perpetrators, adult, child victims and more general indicators.105

In a review of the literature relating to assessing and managing risk, Walby and Myhill identify previous assault by the perpetrator as “one of the most robust, simple and straightforward risk factors for domestic violence” and claim that “assaults are likely to increase in severity unless there is a change of circumstances.”106 In his US research on domestic homicide, Websdale lists the following

101 Mullender et al (2002) op cit. at 67
102 Mullender et al (2002) op cit. at 82
103 Mullender at al (2002) op cit. at 67
105 Websdale (1999) op. cit. at 204. Also see Department of Health (2002) Learning from Past Experiences: A Review of Serious Case Reviews (London: HMSO) at 60 and 62
perpetrator–related antecedents to the killings; escalating domestic violence and the increased entrapment of the victim, obsessive possessiveness and morbid jealousy; threats to commit suicide or homicide; prior agency involvement particularly the police; protective orders; the abuser’s depression and/or history of criminal behaviour.\textsuperscript{107} Websdale recommends that in the context of domestic violence “a person who is suicidal should also be considered homicidal.”\textsuperscript{108} Reference has already been made to the fact that there are clear links between ‘stalking’-type behaviour by male partners and intimate partner homicide by men and by women\textsuperscript{109} suggesting that “violent males frequently stalk and kill their ex-partners and sometimes are killed in the process.”\textsuperscript{110} Studies of perpetrators suggest that psychological and emotional abuse, in particular dominance and isolation, is a useful variable in predicting repeat and severe violence.\textsuperscript{111} Men who sexually and physically assault their partners have been found to be particularly dangerous.\textsuperscript{112}

In relation to victims of domestic violence, Walby and Myhill’s review concluded that women who are separated from partners “have a significantly higher risk of domestic violence.” Despite the common assumption that leaving a violent partner will end violence, we know that women who separate from their partner are at a higher risk of physical violence and sexual assault as well as murder.\textsuperscript{113} In the context of recent Canadian study, researchers concluded that violence involving female victims that continues after separation tends to be more serious and obsessive, is more likely to involve stalking and to lead to homicide.\textsuperscript{114} Websdale identifies the increased entrapment of the victim and separation (including divorce) as antecedents to domestic killings.\textsuperscript{115} He concludes that after separation the risk of a woman being killed by a male perpetrator is significantly increased and that “attempts to leave violent men are one of the most significant correlates with domestic death.”\textsuperscript{116} On the basis of their review of the literature, Browne \textit{et al} conclude that “the greatest risk factor for partner homicide by men appears to be estrangement and prior assultive and controlling behaviour.”\textsuperscript{117} Similar risk factors have been identified with women who kill abusive partners.\textsuperscript{118}
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There does appear to be a correlation between pregnancy and domestic violence, but this may be because youth is a risk factor with women aged 16 to 24 significantly more at risk than women of other ages, rather than indicating a causal link. Pregnancy may increase the isolation and dependency of the victim and poses risks in terms of miscarriage and foetal abnormality as well as additional risks to the lives of women themselves. For example, domestic violence was disclosed as a feature of the lives of women in at least 12% of maternal deaths in the UK during 1997-1999. One study found that one in twenty incidents of domestic violence reported to one police service involved a victim who was pregnant. Physical and mental ill health also appears to increase the risk of domestic violence, but again conclusions relating to causation are complex as the health issues may be the outcome of the violence. Research supports the use of survivors’ perceptions as an important element that should be included in risk assessments.

We need to know more about risk factors associated with violence against children and domestic violence. Walby and Myhill conclude that there is “robust evidence” of co-occurrence of child abuse and adult domestic violence. In his review of the literature, Websdale outlines three antecedents to child homicide: prior history of child abuse; prior agency contact; and a history of adult domestic violence in the family. He concludes that:

> confronting domestic violence among adults may provide multiple points of proactive intervention against child deaths in the home.

In a recent analysis of serious review cases of child deaths, one of the commonly reoccurring features was the existence of domestic violence. Throughout this review reference is made to child protection in discussing legal, policy and agency responses to domestic violence.

Turning to other indicators of risk, Walby and Myhill identify men’s patriarchal attitudes and inegalitarian family structures as gender-related risk factors for domestic violence. Their review also found complex relationships between poverty, social exclusion and domestic violence and that it was difficult to ascertain
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whether correlations were cause or effect. Although poverty does appear to be a risk factor for domestic violence, we need to know more about the differential impact of the income and employment status of perpetrators and victims. For example, treating a household as an economic unit, as is the case in most crime surveys, does not allow analysis of the links between poverty, social exclusion, economic dependency and domestic violence. Women who are unemployed or housewives have been found to have the highest risk of domestic violence, but there are a number of ways this could link to vulnerability. These include lack of financial resources to leave, greater social isolation, less access to informal and formal support networks and potentially more forms of abuse available to the perpetrator. Walby and Myhill’s review of the literature did not find evidence that ethnicity correlated with any significant differences in levels of risk. Although US homicide statistics do indicate differences in domestic homicide rates between ethnic groups.
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2. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: THE LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

One of the problems with the legal and public policy response to domestic violence has been the failure to name it as ‘real’ violence.\(^{137}\) The tendency has been to focus on domestic violence as “arguments, quarrels or disagreements” rather than as “serious crime and human rights violation”.\(^{138}\) The ineffectual state response led to the establishment by a number of voluntary women’s groups of local refuges for women and children escaping domestic violence.\(^{139}\) The state has been slower in its response but in the last two decades there have various developments within the legal and policy framework including attempts to recognise many aspects of domestic violence as crime. Other changes include measures in the criminal, civil immigration and housing systems to protect victims, efforts to protect children experiencing domestic violence and more recently, a range of multi-agency initiatives to tackle domestic violence. Each of these developments will be considered in turn.

2.1. Domestic Violence as Crime

It was only in 1991 that it became a criminal offence in England for a man to rape his wife.\(^{140}\) Since then, in principle, criminal laws prohibiting physical and other violence apply regardless of the relationship between the victim and perpetrator. There are a range of criminal offences including sexual and physical assault, harassment offences and the crimes related to homicide, which can be applied in a case of domestic violence.\(^{141}\) In an Annex to the Revised CPS policy on domestic violence examples of types of behaviour are given alongside the possible criminal offences that could be committed.\(^{142}\) Unlike in some jurisdictions, such as some US states and Latin America,\(^{143}\) there is no specific offence of domestic violence. Current statutory criminal offences have been designed for assaults by strangers and generally do not take account of the pattern of multiple offences which constitute domestic violence. One exception is the Protection from Harassment Act 1997, which allows patterns of behaviour to be acted upon rather than single incidents. Other jurisdictions have also developed new criminal powers in acknowledgement of the limitations of current offences. For example, in Austria and Germany the police have the power to remove offenders from the home for ten days if they believe that an offence has been committed and is likely to be repeated, and the victim can then apply to the court to be extended.\(^{144}\) The Women’s Peace Law in Sweden created a new offence of ‘gross violation of a woman’s integrity’ which permits prosecution for a
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pattern of behaviour when it may be difficult to prosecute for a single incident and this can be charged alongside another offences.\textsuperscript{145}

Despite the wide range of powers that can be used in domestic violence situations, practical implementation can undermine legislation and legal rules can be fettered by the discretion given to police, prosecutors and judges.\textsuperscript{146} For example, we know that the Protection from Harassment Act 1997, is being used in the context of domestic violence, but there are local variations.\textsuperscript{147} The police, in particular, have been subject to a great deal of criticism for failing to respond to domestic violence, not recording incidents as crimes, not making arrests and failing to enforce civil injunctions.\textsuperscript{148} Others in the criminal justice system, including the judiciary, have also been subject to criticism for failing to treat crimes of domestic violence as seriously as crimes of stranger violence, particularly in the case of sexual violence.\textsuperscript{149} In 2002 The Sentencing Advisory Panel recommended that sentences for rape should reflect the fact that rape by a partner is “of equal seriousness to cases of stranger rape.”\textsuperscript{150} The Panel accepted the need to recognise the “inherent” breach of trust in non-stranger rape, but did not recommend that this be a specific aggravating factor.\textsuperscript{151} However, there have been cases in which the breach of trust in such cases has been recognised as an aggravating factor. In other European countries including Belgium, France and Spain, legislation specifies that criminal assault by a partner should be an aggravating factor in sentencing.\textsuperscript{152} In addition to attempts to ensure the recognition of domestic violence and accountability of perpetrators within the criminal law, policy reform has focussed on the protection of victims.

### 2.2 Protecting Victims of Domestic Violence

The criminal justice system has been criticised for failing to give protection to victims of domestic violence and not holding perpetrators accountable for their violence by failing to arrest, charge, convict and sentence appropriately.\textsuperscript{153} Similar criticisms have been made in relation to civil and family law responses due to the limited effectiveness of injunctions\textsuperscript{154} and the failure to take account of domestic violence in divorce and child contact proceedings.\textsuperscript{155} The Human Rights Act 1998 implemented the European Convention on Human Rights which includes positive obligations on state agencies to take
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measures to protect adult and child victims of domestic violence whose lives are at risk or who are experiencing torture or inhuman and degrading treatment.

2.2.1. Protection in the Criminal Justice System

In the US, civil actions against criminal justice agencies for failure to protect domestic violence victims have been a major ‘driver’ for the introduction of mandatory arrest policies and other law reform relating to domestic violence. To date there have not been similar actions in the UK although the enactment of the Human Rights Act 1998 makes this a real possibility. The Protection from Harassment Act 1997 introduces criminal and civil sanctions to tackle common behaviour by domestic violence perpetrators which previously did not constitute a criminal offence. The Revised Home Office Circular states that the primary duty of the police is to protect the victim and CPS domestic violence policy makes the safety of victims and children a priority. The Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999 also contains measures to protect vulnerable and intimidated witnesses in court, including use of screens, videos and television links for giving evidence. The Revised CPS policy on domestic violence states that, “whenever possible” victims of domestic violence will benefit from these measures. In later sections of this review, the concept of protection and the accountability of perpetrators in the context of the criminal justice system is examined in more depth highlighting the inconsistent implementation of these reforms which have limited their impact.

2.2.2. Protection in the Civil Law System

Another form of legal protection for victims of domestic violence comes from civil injunctions. Prior to 1996 the legislation was said to be a “confusing array of statutory relief” and there was evidence of the police and courts failing to enforce orders by not making arrests or punishing breaches. Overall these civil law responses provided limited protection for victims, and legislation in 1996 sought to address some of these limitations. Part IV of the Family Law Act 1996 replaced the previous terminology with that of ‘occupation orders’ and ‘non-molestation orders’, increased their availability to victims and the likelihood of a power of arrest being attached. For those who fall outside the ambit of the Family Law Act 1996, if, for instance, they have never lived with the perpetrator, the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 provides a possibility for action, including damages and court orders. The Lord Chancellor’s Department has recently issued a useful guide to the current civil legislation.
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A review of legislative protection from the perspectives of Women’s Aid refuge workers found that the 1996 legislation had generally improved protection for women and children experiencing domestic violence, but there was still a great deal of concern about the lack of enforcement of civil orders. Recent research indicates that there was no change in the number of non-molestation orders granted under the Family Law Act, but there were more occupation orders. There has also been an increase in attachment of powers of arrest and more committal proceedings, but statistics suggest that victims in different parts of the country receive different degrees of protection. It is difficult to know the extent to which the 1997 Act has been used in domestic violence cases, due to the limitations of statistics collected by the civil courts. Prior to the Family Law Act, research found that a high percentage of breaches do not reach court and those that do rarely result in prison sentences for contempt. A recent study of criminal justice responses in Northumberland did not find one arrest or charge relating to breach of an injunction. One limitation of the system in England and Wales is that there is no means of periodic review of injunctions in the courts and enforcement relies on action by the victim. The practical and emotional influences on victim’s decisions to co-operate with a criminal prosecution may also affect decisions to enforce an order by calling the police or returning to court. Court orders are often a last resort following a range of help-seeking efforts. In Northern and Southern Ireland, recognition of the limitations of relying on the victim to enforce injunctions, led to a change which makes breach of a domestic violence order a criminal offence.

There have not been any major evaluations in the UK of the effect of civil court orders on victims, or perpetrators, or the extent to which they are breached and patterns of enforcement. One study in the United States found that violators were more likely to be unemployed, to abuse alcohol or drugs, to be violent in and outside the home and to have a general disregard for the law. US studies have identified barriers to seeking a court order as fear of leaving the relationship permanently, fear of the abuser retaliating and the fear and shame of appearing in court. However, the civil system may be preferable to the criminal one, when a victim views the outcomes as more responsive to individual needs or does not want criminal sanctions against the perpetrator either to avoid retaliation or for other reasons relating to avoiding the criminalisation and punishment of the perpetrator. It has been suggested that court orders
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may be more beneficial for victims who seek them at an early stage in the pattern of violence, changing the “power dynamics of the relationship.” In some cases court orders can be a “psychological as well as legal victory,” in allowing a survivor to demonstrate a public act of strength to the perpetrator. Action by civil courts does seem to have a positive impact in some circumstances but we need more research on what exactly the relevant factors are.

2.2.3. Protection in the Housing and Immigration Systems

Domestic violence is one of the chief causes of homelessness for women and yet a recent report commissioned by the Home Office found that there is a widespread shortage of refuges, and under funding of existing provision. The provision of refuges is discussed further in the next part of the review, but it is important to note here that they are largely provided by voluntary sector providers and have no statutory basis or secure funding stream. There is however, a Best Value Performance Indicator which requires local authorities to measure how many refuge places they provide. Housing legislation makes it possible for a victim fleeing domestic violence to be permanently re-housed, if necessary in another area but practice differs between local authorities in terms of compliance with government guidance. It has been recognised that benefits agencies and housing departments have been used by perpetrators to find a partner who is trying to escape. There is certainly a need for co-ordinated strategies which enable victims to be protected in their own home and when they leave.

Insecure immigration status can have a profound effect on the safety of some victims. The immigration rules state that anyone who comes to Britain to marry must remain in the marriage for a probationary period before applying for indefinite leave to stay, which has to be applied for by the person who sponsored entry, usually the spouse. Southall Black Sisters and other campaigning groups have pointed out that some husbands never apply for this leave to remain and that this can serve as a permanent threat. A recent concession by the Home Office allows victims who leave spouses due to domestic violence during the probationary period to apply for leave to remain indefinitely, if they have some objective proof of domestic violence such as a conviction, civil court order, medical evidence or statement from a medical professional, the police, social services or refuge worker. However, those subject to the one-year probationary period are not entitled to public funds, which limits, an in some cases wholly prevents, their access to refuges and other housing options.

182 Fischer and Rose (1995) op. cit. at 424.
2.2.4. Child Protection and Domestic Violence

The Department of Health recently confirmed that 75% of children on the ‘at risk’ register live in households where domestic violence occurs. Many women victims of domestic violence are apprehensive about approaching social services because of the perception that they will be blamed for failing to protect their children and that social workers are primarily concerned with child protection. Social services departments have various duties towards children under the Children Act 1989 and other legislation, but the only duties to adult victims of domestic violence are to those who are deemed ‘vulnerable’, for a reason such as disability. Domestic violence itself is rarely interpreted as conferring vulnerability status. Reluctance to seek support from services because of fears about losing residence of children indicates a need to develop independent support services for adults and children suffering domestic violence. Child protection services as provided by statutory agencies tend to be reactive and focused upon case management and risk. There is a strong case for such services to adopt a more proactive position in terms of developing services and policies that promote the protection of women and children from harm. Tensions between services for women survivors of domestic violence and child protection services have been well documented. A number of researchers in this field have argued that ‘women protection’ is often the best approach to child protection. Some researchers and commentators have argued that child protection agencies should define all children living with or surviving domestic violence as ‘children in need’ and provide appropriate services.

Increased attention has been focussed on the issue of contact between fathers who are perpetrators of domestic violence and their children following separation. The Court of Appeal and the Lord Chancellor’s Department have recognised the impact of domestic violence on children and an amendment to the Children Act extends the definition of “harm” to include the witnessing of ill treatment of others. However, it is questionable whether this has improved court practice and there is evidence that courts are still reluctant to refuse or restrict contact with a violent father. Several studies have highlighted
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the danger to both women and children when perpetrators of domestic violence have contact with their children. Studies over the last four years have found that it is not uncommon for child contact to be ordered even where the perpetrator has been the subject of a social services referral due to concerns of child protection, breached a civil injunction or had a criminal conviction for violence against the partner. There have been a number of children killed by fathers during contact visits and murders of mothers facilitated by information about their location accessed via contact proceedings. Child contact centres have been established to supervise ‘hand-overs’ but are usually staffed by untrained volunteers and have resulted in some inappropriate referrals by the courts. Several contact centres in the UK and in other jurisdictions offer parents skilled workers and a range of support services, information and referrals to other help as well as assistance to victims in devising safety plans. In New Zealand, there is a statutory presumption that contact should not be granted to a perpetrator of domestic violence unless the court is satisfied that it will be safe for the child and the other parent.

2.3. Multi-agency responses

In her review of the literature relating to multi-agency responses to domestic violence, Hague summarises their history, including government promotion through Home Office Circulars in 1995 and 2000 and the publication of the Living Without Fear guidance in 1998. The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes a duty on local authorities and the police to work in partnership and consult with the local population and other agencies to produce a three yearly audit and strategy relating to local crime and disorder issues and to do all they “reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder.” Multi-agency initiatives in the context of domestic violence consist of local inter-agency forums and specific multi-agency projects such as those funded by the Home Office Crime Reduction Violence Against Women Initiative all of which are referred to later in this review. Research relating to multi-agency responses is discussed further in section 4.
3. SURVIVORS’ PERSPECTIVES

Understanding the patterns of coping and help-seeking of adult and child survivors of domestic violence is a crucial first step towards understanding their perspectives on services and processes of safety planning.

3.1. Patterns of Help Seeking

As there are different patterns of domestic violence so too are there many patterns of help seeking. One model that describes the process of women victims ending a relationship with a violent partner identifies five stages: (1) Non-recognition (pre-contemplation); (2) acknowledgement (contemplation); (3) consideration of options (preparation); (4) selection of actions (action) and (5) use of safety strategies to remain free from abuse (maintenance). After acknowledging or naming domestic violence as a problem, survivors often use their own personal resources and private strategies to try and manage the violence that may include combinations of resistance and attempts to “placate” the perpetrator. Informal social networks, including family, friends and work colleagues, can be very important sources of support, especially when tangible resources, such as a place to stay, are offered. The 1996 British Crime Survey found that about half of domestic violence victims had told someone about their most recent assault and this was most likely to be a friend, neighbour or relative. Some may use the criminal legal system, to challenge their partner’s behaviour, as a “power resource” in the relationship with the perpetrator or in the event of a life threatening assault. We know relatively little about what patterns of early actions, including the threat of criminal law, can be effective in reducing or ending violence.

Informal social networks are especially important for victims who live in some minority or socially isolated communities and there may be social sanctions for calling statutory agencies such as the police into particular communities. The problems that all victims face in disclosing domestic violence to others may be exacerbated for those experiencing racism, language differences, poverty, bad housing and unemployment. In these contexts formal legal responses and official agencies, particularly the police,
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may be perceived as hostile or racist towards the victim and there may be fear of fuelling racism against the perpetrator.

The two statutory agencies most often told about domestic violence by victims are the police, followed by medical services, including general practitioners, community nurses, hospital staff, and health visitors. Other formal agencies contacted by victims include solicitors and legal advisors (such as the Citizens Advice Bureaux), counsellors (for both individuals and couples), community and religious leaders. In a study of survivors’ views, women consistently reported that they want to be asked about domestic violence and are more likely to disclose domestic violence when asked specific questions. A recent review of research on health service responses highlighted the importance of medical practitioners providing links with other services and recommended that questions about domestic violence be asked as a matter of routine. Interviews with survivors of domestic violence consistently indicate the need for consistent messages to those who disclose abuse: naming the violence, reassurance it is not their fault and that they deserve something better. Service providers need to recognise the personal survival strategies of victims of domestic violence whilst at the same time recognising that survivors are rarely able to achieve an end to the violence without additional support and intervention.

3.2. Consultation with Survivors

A number of current policy initiatives include local consultation requirements including ‘Best Value’ regimes, crime and disorder audits and strategies and a range of neighbourhood renewal, health and social services initiatives. Consultation with survivors of domestic violence who use and do not use services is crucial in meeting survivors’ needs with appropriate interventions and services. Mullender and Hague found that women survivors rate more positively those services that routinely consult them about their needs and that 90% of refuges undertook direct consultation with survivors, as opposed to only 40% of inter agency forums. A recent study to map and evaluate current service user consultation in the context of domestic violence services concluded that while there are examples of good practice the majority of agencies do not consult systematically. Research shows that few multi-agency domestic violence fora have meaningful involvement and consultation with survivors. However, local initiatives include survivors advisory groups, focus groups, one-off consultation meetings and survivors recruited direct to the forum. A recently published guide to developing consultation makes it clear that to be effective and empowering and to lead to concrete changes in service design and delivery, survivor participation needs to include financial compensation, expenses, training, interpreters and accessible venues with child care

219 Barron (1990) op. cit. at 87
221 James Hanman (1990) ibid.
222 Mirrlees-Black (1999) op. cit. at x
223 Mullender and Hague (2001) op. cit.
226 ibid.
227 Goodman et al (2003) op. cit. at 180
228 Mullender and Hague (2001) op. cit.
facilities. In Duluth, the ‘Battered Women’s Advisory Committee’ meets regularly to review and discuss how suggested changes might have affected them when they used the system.

In 2000 the Womenspeak project enabled survivors of domestic violence to contribute evidence and information about their experiences direct to Members of Parliament via the internet. The sense of empowerment of those taking part was a key theme in the report on the project. Some areas use a panel of domestic violence survivors to advise the inter-agency forum and operate a ‘friends’ system to support other survivors. Some police forces distribute victim satisfaction questionnaires contained in information packs for domestic violence victims. In terms of consultation with children, Scottish Women’s Aid published Young People Say in 1998 and Mullender and Hague confirmed that many refuges run children’s workshops and hold regular decision-making children’s meetings although few other agencies do anything comparable.

One important aspect of indirect survivor consultation is the involvement in policy making and training at national and local level of voluntary sector providers of specialist domestic violence services, many of whom advocate for survivors of domestic violence. Studies have found these groups to be regularly marginalised in multi-agency domestic violence forums. The ‘Duluth’ model of community intervention in domestic violence is based on a strong relationship between state agencies and specialist groups who advocate for survivors of domestic violence.

Recently in the UK the police and CPS have committed to working with providers of specialist services such as Women’s Aid, for example by co-delivering training.

3.3. Survivors’ Views on Statutory Services

One review of the literature on survivors’ perspectives on statutory services (social services departments, health services, housing, social security, and legal services and the criminal justice system) revealed an overwhelming need for staff to improve knowledge and expertise in the domestic violence. Access to law and lack of information about legal and other services is a major concern for survivors of domestic violence. Many victims of domestic violence do not perceive standard state responses as addressing their
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problems and help has been found to be neither comprehensive nor easily accessible. Researchers have highlighted the need for flexible packages of responses that fit the stage each individual has reached in their help seeking process rather than being “premised on an assumption that leaving and staying away from an abusive partner is always the best choice.” We know that leaving is not the “exclusive safety strategy” for all those experiencing domestic violence and given the importance of early intervention it is crucial that statutory responses acknowledge other strategies. Victims who feel it is in their best interests to stay currently have few options to empower them in their own strategies.

3.4. Survivors’ Views on Voluntary Sector Services

The refuge movement provides a pragmatic supplement and alternative to the formal legal system in terms of the safety of adult and child victims. The founding principles of women’s refuges are to provide both shelter and an empowering, mutually supportive and non-judgmental environment of collective living, in which women can address a complex range of issues including isolation and low self-esteem. There are also a small number of specialist refuges providing services for women from particular minority ethnic communities, young women and one for women with learning disabilities. Some refuges make residents’ participation in decision and policy-making a core aspect of refuge life. Survivors consistently rate refuges more positively than other services in terms of assistance, safety and support. However, only a small number of survivors access refuges and the picture is not entirely positive with negative aspects including lack of privacy, comfort, lack of resources and the stress of living with other women and their children. There has been no major evaluation of the effectiveness of refuge provision nor are there national minimum standards although proposals have been published under the Supporting People initiative.

Leaving can be a time of grief, depression, loneliness and vulnerability. The experiences of survivors show that on-going support is vital in sustaining the separation in the process of leaving abusive relationships. Today, many Women’s Aid and other refuge projects provide services including telephone advice and outreach support, support groups and ‘drop in’ services so that women and children can be
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supported, while still living with perpetrators or in independent accommodation.\textsuperscript{255} Victim advocacy efforts are usually either focussed on, working with, or on behalf of individuals or systems-based (advocating to change and improve institutional responses) and many efforts involve a combination of both.\textsuperscript{256} Kelly and Humphreys have reviewed the various definitions and approaches to outreach and advocacy, and the range of services provided to women and children finding that research in this area is limited.\textsuperscript{257} Studies show that children rate children’s services within refuge and outreach provision as particularly useful as specialist staff pay particular attention to their perceptions and needs.\textsuperscript{258} On the basis of the \textit{Day to Count} data on victim contact with refuge and related services, Stanko concluded that Women’s Aid and Refuge have more contact (through telephone advice lines, refuges and their other services) with more women victims on a day-to-day basis than do the police.\textsuperscript{259}

Recently there have been campaigns by some men’s groups questioning the lack of provision for male victims of domestic violence by women. Research commissioned by the Scottish Executive to assess the nature and extent of domestic abuse against men in Scotland concluded that evidence did not suggest the need for a new agency with a specific remit to support male victims of domestic abuse in Scotland, nor for refuges for abused men.\textsuperscript{260} It did, however, recommend that advice relating to legal, housing, finance and welfare support as well as alternative accommodation would benefit some male victims. A refuge in London has been set up to provide shelter and services for gay men experiencing domestic violence and the policies of most women’s refuges mean that they are open to lesbians and their children as well as women fleeing male violence.

There are a number of other voluntary domestic violence services providing specialist advice and support at a local and national level. Some produce useful written guidance for survivors such as the \textit{Domestic Violence Injunction Handbook} to assist survivors in obtaining civil court orders without legal representation.\textsuperscript{261} Victim Support also provides general support to victims of crime including those of domestic violence. Research has found that police officers do not routinely tell victims about services provided by Victim Support, Women’s Aid or Rape Crisis.\textsuperscript{262}

We do not know enough about the impact of state or voluntary sector initiatives on reducing domestic violence, causing desistance by the perpetrator and increasing the safety of the victim. In the United States, one study suggested that legal and advocacy services for victims of domestic violence reduced the rate at which women kill male partners, but not the rate at which men kill their female partners.\textsuperscript{263} However, it has been suggested that such findings should be viewed with caution, since existing studies have not
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measured the availability and quality of services, relying only on quantitative measures to assess the impact of particular services.264

3.5. Safety Planning

Before moving on to consider multi-agency responses to domestic violence it is important to consider the issue of safety planning. There is sometimes confusion between the distinct processes of safety planning and risk assessment.265 The term ‘safety planning’ is generally used to describe a structured method used by agencies to enable adult and child survivors to make use of their existing and other available resources and sometimes to shift from re-active to proactive strategies. The ‘safety plan’ which is developed belongs to the victim and/or child. In contrast, risk assessment and management (which will be discussed fully in the next section) are processes undertaken by agencies in which good practice involves a partnership with the survivor (although this may not always be possible). The model of safety planning proposed by Davies and Lyon emphasises that processes need to be focussed on the victim’s and children’s needs as opposed to those of institutions, building on, and enhancing survivors’ existing strategies and resources.266 Various current initiatives include the production of a safety planning video for survivors of domestic violence and written guidance to survivors on safety planning.267
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4. MULTI-AGENCY RESPONSES

4.1. Multi-Agency Partnerships

Research into the effectiveness of multi-agency partnerships, including crime and disorder partnerships is still in its infancy, although the Audit Commission concluded that local partnerships had not made an “obvious impact” on community safety between 1999 and 2000.268 Existing research evaluating multi-agency responses to domestic violence at a local level in England and Wales, particularly domestic violence fora, have found a number of difficulties.269 These include: inappropriate representation; lack of resources; the imbalance of power between statutory and voluntary sector representatives, particularly small specialist agencies; lack of consultation systems with domestic violence survivors; and poor provision for the evaluation and monitoring of effectiveness. The contribution of multi-agency fora includes opportunities for networking and exchanging information, co-ordinating and improving the delivery of multi-agency and individual services and public education and awareness raising.270 Hague noted that many fora do not have specific staff assigned to them and that dedicated co-ordinators are able to give “focus and direction” to fora.271 Recommendations by Hague include the development of clear lines of accountability and agreed terms of reference, objectives and regularly reviewed action plans to ensure that fora move beyond ‘talking shops’.272 There is a need to develop further guidance for local fora, based on evaluated good practice and emphasis on the fact that effective inter-agency working is dependent on the practice of each individual agency within the partnership.

International good practice in integrated multi-agency responses include the Duluth Domestic Abuse Intervention Project and other similar initiatives in Canada (particularly London, Ontario), Australia (particularly Canberra) and New Zealand.273 These integrated projects include co-ordinated criminal and civil responses, perpetrators’ programmes, survivor’s support groups, comprehensive training initiatives and sophisticated monitoring and evaluation schemes. Their core philosophy tends to be that communities, rather than individuals or families, should be responsible for holding perpetrators accountable and protecting victims.274 Such community intervention models have proved difficult to replicate in the UK, but several projects part-funded by the Home Office Crime Reduction Violence Against Women Unit are attempts to build similar systematic responses.275 A recent evaluation of the Family Violence Intervention Program in Canberra, Australia found that the co-ordinated response increased charges against, and guilty pleas by, perpetrators, and reduced withdrawal of cases due to lack of evidence and victim reluctance to proceed.276 The evaluation also reported an increase in convictions by 68% (1998/9 to 2000) and 126% in
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In recent years some multi-agency partnerships have considered the establishments of ‘one stop shops’ which enable representatives of a range of statutory organisations to work from a central location, although there has been no comprehensive evaluation of this approach. Kelly and Humphreys have argued that independent well-resourced advocacy and outreach projects may be better placed to provide flexible ‘packages’ of provision combining advice, support, referral, co-ordination and advocacy.

One particular barrier to effective multi-agency responses to domestic violence is that of information sharing. On the one hand information can be shared too freely, undermining the trust, confidence and safety of adult and child victims. On the other hand failure to share information can undermine safety and the provision of integrated services. The lack of adequate systems for sharing information, lack of shared definitions, incompatible data systems and poor recording practices have not only hindered agencies’ protection of adult and child victims but have also proved to be an obstacle to measuring the extent of domestic violence and the effectiveness of interventions. Whilst much recent attention has focused on improving systems to share information, there is a need to recognise the importance of confidentiality and trust for survivors and the necessity for developed systems to ensure that there is an adequate response once information is shared.

### 4.2. Assessing and Managing Risk

Most research relating to risk assessment in the context of domestic violence comes from the US and it is difficult to apply the findings to different social, criminal justice and support systems within the UK. Although agencies, including the National Probation Service and some police forces, have formal systems for assessing and managing risk in the context of domestic violence, others do not. Indeed, many front line practitioners, including police officers, social workers and prosecutors have low levels of knowledge, and sometimes wholly inaccurate understanding about indicators of future serious harm in cases of domestic violence. It is clear that in order to assess and manage risk effectively this knowledge is crucial for all staff as well as systems by which agencies can share information in order to increase victim safety. It is also important to recognise that any risk assessment tool based upon inventories and categories will provide only a limited picture of the nature, severity and danger of a particular domestic violence situation.

In the Duluth ‘Enhanced Domestic Abuse Intervention Project’ (EDAIP) methods have been developed for criminal justice practitioners and advocates to collect and share risk assessment data which are then used to determine the sanctions recommended for domestic violence offenders. Offenders are only categorised in terms of levels of risk by qualified probation officers when the relevant agencies have pooled information. Evaluation of this project concluded that “improved co-ordination through the sharing of risk assessment information among criminal justice professionals can reduce recidivism among men who abuse their partners.” Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) are likely to have a profound impact on multi-agency systems for assessing and managing the risk of sexual and violent offenders, some of whom will be domestic violence perpetrators.

---
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4.3. Institutional Audits and Fatality Reviews

Some domestic violence initiatives, including Duluth, have utilised multi-agency institutional or ‘safety and accountability audits’ to monitor and evaluate the quality and efficiency of each agency. These audits open up policies and practices to review by a range of agencies and survivors of domestic violence, which then enables recommendations for change. This is widely viewed as good practice in terms of effective evaluation of service delivery and ensuring openness and accountability. Although such processes are under-developed in the UK, some organisations have engaged in ‘safety and accountability audits’ to identify good practice, gaps in services and ways to improve current systems and practice.

In the US and Canada another multi-agency initiative is that of domestic violence fatality reviews in which each agency’s role in the lead up to a domestic homicide is examined in order to identify patterns and formulate recommendations regarding the investigation, intervention and prevention of domestic violence. The experience of the Washington State Domestic Violence Fatality Review Project was of interdisciplinary discussions which enabled the review team to gain insight into current systems, identify gaps and increase communication and collaboration amongst those involved in a co-ordinated community response. In some US states legislation guarantees that information shared at the reviews will be confidential which raises issues of public accountability, transparency and openness. In the UK several models of domestic violence fatality reviews have been developed and there is a possibility that forthcoming legislation will enshrine the review process in statute in a similar way to that of Chapter 8 reviews of child deaths.
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5. POLICE RESPONSES

In this section the literature is discussed as it relates to police reporting and recording, positive action and arrest policies, investigation, evidence-gathering and police initiatives which focus on protecting and supporting victims. The police response to domestic violence has been the focus of much attention from researchers. In their review of the literature, Hanmer and Griffiths include a summary of the history of police responses to domestic violence. The issue of attrition (or ‘drop out’) in domestic violence cases is considered throughout this and sections six and seven. The issue has been the subject of a recent study in Northumbria which included analysis of police and CPS files, interviews with victims, police officers, CPS prosecutors, defence solicitors and representatives of non-criminal justice agencies as well as court observations. The study found that only 4.3% of domestic violence incidents reported to the police resulted in a criminal conviction. The difficulty of understanding the high attrition rates in rape cases is complicated by the lack of systems to differentiate domestic violence cases (including rape by a partner or former partner) from stranger offences. Data collection systems are being developed within the criminal justice system to ensure that the necessary information is available to increase knowledge of these issues. In her review of the research relating to attrition in rape cases, Kelly emphasises the importance of distinguishing between cases such as those which do not reach court due to police and prosecutorial decision-making and evidence gathering, cases where the victim withdraws support and those which result in acquittal. Understanding such distinctions will be crucial to developing different strategies to reduce attrition which target different groups of cases.

5.1. Reporting and Recording

It is clear that many victims of domestic violence will be assaulted a number of times before contacting the police, and whilst some recent research suggests that patterns of reporting may have changed over time we lack a detailed study of these shifts. There is some confusion surrounding the origin of the oft-quoted statistic that a victim of domestic violence will be assaulted 35 times before contacting the police but it seems to be based on a Canadian study from the 1980s. There is a need for up to date UK research to understand the stages at which victims of domestic violence seek police intervention. The 1996 British Crime Survey self-completion module found that of those who had been victims of domestic assault in the previous year, only 17% said the police were aware of one or more incident. The 2000 British Crime Survey found that less than one in three victims of domestic violence reported the incidents to police.

---
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Domestic violence is under-reported to the police for a variety of reasons, including victims being physically prevented, concerns by the victim and others of privacy, fear of reprisal, feelings of care for the offender and perceptions that it is not a police or criminal matter. The 2000 British Crime Survey found that, of those victims who did not report domestic violence to the police, ‘privacy’ was the main factor.\(^\text{299}\) In her research, Hoyle found three main reasons why women victims did not contact the police; that they did not want to break up their relationship, that they feared retaliation and that the outcomes of a criminal justice prosecution was not worth the ‘cost’ to the victim.\(^\text{300}\) Victims from some communities (such as minority ethnic groups, lesbian, gay, transgender or bisexual individuals) might risk providing fuel for discriminatory or racist stereotypes and face the possibility of racist, homophobic or discriminatory responses from public authorities.\(^\text{301}\) Research studies suggest different rates of police reporting by different groups of black minority ethnic women suggesting reluctance for some due to perceptions of racism by service providers.\(^\text{302}\) Some research suggests increased use of the police by some groups/individuals because it is the only agency available in an emergency, because they lack family and community supports and limited sanctions within their own communities.\(^\text{303}\)

To date the focus of research has tended to be on factors that inhibit reporting to the police, rather than on incentives to report, such as the desire for protection.\(^\text{304}\) In one study a third of women victims contacting the police wanted immediate protection, a further third wanted the perpetrator calmed down without recourse to criminal law and in the remaining third of cases they wanted the perpetrator arrested.\(^\text{305}\) The 1996 British Crime Survey found that incidents perceived as crimes were more likely to be reported to the police but only 17% of those who described physical violence by a partner thought that their experiences amounted to a crime.\(^\text{306}\) The 1996 survey also found that the police came to know of about a third of domestic assaults from someone other than the victim.\(^\text{307}\) Further research needs to examine the barriers and incentives to reporting for victims in different communities and at different stages in the help seeking process, as well as the reporting patterns of other people.

When a victim or other person calls the police by telephone the first point of contact is with control room staff.\(^\text{308}\) In her research in the 1980s, Edwards found that controllers regularly screened domestic violence calls or accorded them low priority.\(^\text{309}\) The Home Office has since advised that all domestic violence calls should result in a police officer’s visit at some stage\(^\text{310}\) and research suggests that it is now rare for reports
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of domestic violence to be resolved on the telephone.311 In the 1980s research revealed that a high rate of domestic violence cases were not recorded as crimes and were diverted out of the criminal system.312 It remains the case that police records relating to domestic violence are neither systematic nor consistent.313 There are examples of good practice in some individual police forces and some have domestic violence recording systems which are integrated with existing IT systems to allow the automatic transfer of data and the identification of repeat victimisation through victim, offender and location.314 The HMCPSI/HMIC Inspection provides an opportunity to identify baseline information about police recording systems. The HMCPSI/HMIC Joint Inspection on the investigation and prosecution of rape offences identified that cases which do not proceed through insufficient evidence are classified as undetected, which is particularly anomalous when the alleged perpetrator is the identifiable partner of the victim.

5.2 Positive Action and Arrest Policies

Research in the 1980s led to criticism of the police response to domestic violence for failing to assist victims in limiting and stopping violence, attempting to mediate between the parties and directing victims to civil remedies even where the victim supported an arrest and powers existed under criminal law.315 Later studies note that when they contact the police some victims want immediate physical protection from the perpetrator either by being removed, ‘calmed down’ or given a strong warning rather than a criminal prosecution.316 The Northumberland study found two main categories of need among victims. One group of women wanted the immediate violence to be stopped and the situation calmed down and were generally satisfied when the police arrived quickly and separated the parties.317 The other group were seeking longer term protection and were more likely to be dissatisfied, particularly with the court process and outcomes such as fines, bind-overs and short custodial sentences.318 Another recent study found that police behaviours that increase victim satisfaction include: speaking to the victim separately from the perpetrator; questioning witnesses; searching for evidence; and making arrests.319 This study emphasises the importance, not only of officers using police powers but also of treating victims with courtesy, respect, understanding, appearing concerned and listening.320

As with all crimes, it is the duty of the police officer to enforce the law and current policy states that if there is evidence that a crime has been committed in a domestic violence situation then the police are obliged, by the Home Office, and by many local constabulary policies to take positive action.321 The Human Rights Act 1998 means that all decisions to deprive a person of their liberty must include consideration as to whether that is in accordance with the law, proportionate and necessary which renders blanket terms, such as ‘positive arrest’ or ‘mandatory arrest’ policy, inappropriate. When an arrestable
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offence has been committed, officers must exercise their discretion to arrest with due regard to the rights of the alleged offender and the adult and child victim’s right to protection. In some police forces this means that officers have to justify both decisions to, and not to, arrest. In cases where there is not evidence of an offence with a power of arrest, officers are expected to take appropriate action through either the criminal law (through charging) and/or by referring the victim to another agency or other legal avenues to ensure their safety. However, there is limited evidence of these ‘positive action’ policies being consistently applied.\footnote{Home Office (2000a) op.cit.} The study of attrition in Northumberland found that a third of domestic violence incidents recorded by the police were deemed by officers to justify an arrest and of these three quarters resulted in an arrest.\footnote{Hester \textit{et al} (2003) \textit{op. cit.}} The study also found local variations in the number of incidents, proportion of criminal offences with a power of arrest and number of cases in which that power was exercised which the researchers suggest reflects different district policing policies.\footnote{Hester \textit{et al} (2003) \textit{op. cit.} at 2} An evaluation of an initiative in Cardiff indicated that changes to police policy in conjunction with other agencies resulted in a decrease in the number of recorded repeat victims, decrease in the number of victims refusing to make a complaint and an increase in the concern for child reports submitted.\footnote{ibid.}

We do not have a clear picture of police officer decision-making at domestic violence incidents. Research has found that decisions by officers to arrest and charge tend to depend on a range of factors including the ‘wishes’ of the complainant, attitudes of perpetrators, and whether the couple are separated as well as the severity of violence, availability of evidence and witnesses and previous history of the violence.\footnote{Robinson (2003) \textit{op. cit.} at vii-viii and 41} One study found that decisions to charge were shaped “almost entirely by the victim’s preferences”, even in serious cases,\footnote{Hanmer, J., Griffiths, S. and Jerwood, D. (1999) \textit{Arresting Evidence: Domestic Violence and Repeat Victimisation.} Police Research Series Paper 104 (London: Home Office) at 31} but the issue of victims expressed wishes is itself complex. At least one study indicates that police officers make decisions based on assessments of ‘victim worthiness’ and assessments of likely withdrawal, when victims actually want assertive action.\footnote{Hoyle (1998) \textit{op. cit.} at 151} Research has also indicated that some victims are dissuaded from pursuing criminal action by police officers behaviour or advice or because they had ‘prepared’ the victim for the worst possible case scenario (such as having to give evidence in court with the result only being a fine).\footnote{Kelly (1999) \textit{op. cit.}} Research in the US has shown that it is common for the police not to arrest when the offender is absent on arrival at the scene, despite the fact that in one study offenders who left before the police arrived were twice as likely to re-offend.\footnote{Edwards, S. (1996) \textit{Sex and Gender in the Legal Process} (London: Blackstones) at 197. Hoyle (1998) \textit{op. cit.} at 202. Cretney and Davis (1997b) \textit{op. cit.} at 147; Kelly (1999) \textit{op. cit.} at ix} The recent Home Office Circular recognises the complexity of experiences of domestic violence when it emphasises that it is the decision of the police, not the victim, to arrest and charge a suspect.\footnote{Buzawa, E., Hotaling, G., Klein, A. and Byrne, J. (1999) \textit{Response to Domestic Violence in Proactive Court Setting: Final Report} (Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice); Also see Buzawa and Buzawa (2003) \textit{op. cit.} at 145} Victims’ views in relation to police action may be affected by a number of factors including: whether or not they are separated from the perpetrator; fears of retaliatory violence; consideration of their children’s feelings;
and material issues, such as need for financial support. Their views are also likely to be influenced by previous experience of police intervention and criminal justice processes and outcomes. We need to know more about the decision-making of all police officers who first respond to domestic violence incidents and the views of victims on the actions police do and do not take.

Further research is also needed on the responses of other police officers including those custody officers responsible for authorising detention, charging and decisions relating to caution and bail. A study in Thames Valley examined 387 cases of domestic violence and the custody officer only challenged the decision of the arresting officer in one case. However, this needs to be understood in the context of front line police officers ‘second guessing’ the response of custody officers. The Northumberland study found a high rate of attrition at the charge stage with districts varying from one third to one fifth of arrests leading to a charge. In contrast to Hoyle’s finding that the vast majority of perpetrators arrested for Breach of the Peace, were released without further action, more recent research has confirmed that police are using Breach of the Peace as an alternative means of taking formal action against domestic violence offenders. Such evidence suggests a need to develop our understanding of decisions about bail conditions and patterns of enforcement as we know that even when conditions such as residence requirements, curfews and exclusion from a particular locality are used, actions for breaches are rare.

Attempts to hold perpetrators accountable for their violence have resulted in a trend towards mandatory arrest or preferred arrest policies. Alternative models include a mandatory charge policy in Canada and legislation in Austria, Germany and Norway that gives the police powers to remove the perpetrator for two weeks. There has not been any systematic study of the effects of arrest on domestic violence perpetrators in the UK. Hoyle has observed that in some cases arrest seemed to make the perpetrator more violent, and Kelly suggests that some perpetrators were not affected by arrest because they had “no regard for the law.” Results from extensive studies in other jurisdictions are inconclusive. A study conducted in Minneapolis indicated that arrest was effective in reducing the likelihood of further violence. However, replications of this study show a much more complex reality. For some individuals there was no evidence of arrest decreasing violence. Rather it escalated over the long term and it was concluded that overall “arrest increases violence for unmarried and also for unemployed suspects and deters it for married and for employed suspects.” One explanation for these different effects is that arrest is more likely to be a deterrent for perpetrators with “strong conventional social bonds”, but may further alienate those with
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“already weak ties to conventionality.”

There is also potential for arrest policies to have a disproportionate effect on perpetrators from minority ethnic groups and economically deprived communities. One review of the literature concludes that, “the deterrent effect of arrest in domestic violence cases is uncertain.”

Measuring the causal link between arrest and violence is complex in that victims are more likely to call the police when at the point of wanting to end the relationship, which we know correlates, in a proportion of cases, with increased risk of lethal violence. It is crucial to recognise that arrest of the perpetrator can be valuable to a victim, even without prosecution, because it removes the immediate danger, provides a safe window of opportunity for the victim to leave and sends a message to the perpetrator that their behaviour is unacceptable. In the case of apparent differences between employed and unemployed suspects we do not know whether these are explained by victims with less resources being more likely to involve the police or whether there is differential enforcement of criminal law by police, prosecutors and courts. One researcher has recommended that decisions to arrest should be based on “victim safety immediately after the arrest, justice and proportionality” rather than theories relating to long term impacts and differences such as the employment status of offenders. After all, the usual reasons for implementing other aspects of criminal law is the question of whether a crime has been committed not the impact on future offending behaviour.

Some researchers have examined the effect of the perpetrator’s arrest on victims and found that such action can give time to arrange safety, shows that there is help available, and protects victims from immediate danger. Widespread fears that positive action policies would decrease reporting do not seem to have been realised, other the research is not clear whether such policies lead to reporting rate increase, decrease or have little impact. In the US there have been some indications of increased rates of reporting following the introduction of mandatory arrest policies and other law reform relating to domestic violence. One overview of the effectiveness of US arrest policies reports that in cases where the perpetrator was arrested, victims called the police as or more often compared to victims who received other responses. At least one researcher has argued that the high level of repeat victimisation in evaluations of mandatory arrest could, in part, be the result of increased willingness of the victim to call the police.

Other relevant research issues with respect to arrest are that studies have not tested the general deterrent effect of pro-arrest and prosecution policies, nor the impact that the actions of prosecutors and judges
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have on the effectiveness of arrest. Proactive criminal justice responses to domestic violence may make the violence more visible and generate social support for the victim and informal sanctions against the perpetrator. Also, arrest and prosecution of perpetrators may help a victim in ways that are not detected in official recidivism data, such as encouraging the ‘naming’ of domestic violence and further help seeking. There is a need for a comprehensive UK study to evaluate the impact of early interventions by the police on victims, perpetrators and others in the context of an integrated multi-agency response to domestic violence.

One consequence of mandatory arrest policies in the US has been the increase in dual arrests of both victim and perpetrator. There is also evidence that perpetrators are manipulating the criminal justice system by, for example, pre-empting their partners by involving the police themselves, self-inflicting wounds and controlling the immediate police response to ensure that they appear as the victim. In the context of the UK, it has been suggested that “calling the police and claiming ‘victim status’ can be used by the perpetrator as a controlling tactic during assault.” In the US this has led to the enactment of legislation which requires law enforcement officers to determine the “principal aggressor” in domestic violence situations through effective evidence gathering and taking into account whether one person has acted in self defence.

5.3 Investigation and Evidence-Gathering

The revised CPS policy on domestic violence states that it is the role of the police to investigate and gather evidence and that of the CPS to consider the evidence and provide the police with advice as to charge. Hoyle found that police effort in finding or generating evidence varied and in some cases officers failed to conduct a thorough investigation, to follow cases up and to locate and interview those who could provide corroborative evidence. The Northumberland study found that the extent to which officers followed up statements varied between individuals and areas. In interviews, victims said that they would have pursued charges if contacted again by police a few weeks later – as happened in some instances. There are other suggestions that basic standards of investigation are not met in current practice. For example, research on children's perception of the police response to domestic violence has noted that children often feel ignored by officers and are not questioned directly even in cases where they have made the emergency call or are in the police station.
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A range of investigation techniques including instant and digital photographic recording of injuries and the scene, video-taped statements, witness interviews with neighbours and others have been collectively described as ‘enhanced evidence gathering’. The more appropriate term is ‘effective evidence gathering’ given that these are basic to professional police investigation. However, existing research shows that the routine use of such methods in the context of domestic violence is rare and requires further evaluation.\textsuperscript{366} The Northumberland study indicated that photographic evidence makes it more likely that a guilty plea and/or successful conviction would result,\textsuperscript{367} which has been supported by evaluations of projects in other countries.\textsuperscript{368} It has been suggested that effective evidence gathering, such as photographs of injuries, can also help the victim to recognise the extent of abuse and encourage support for prosecution.\textsuperscript{369} A number of evaluated UK projects have been funded by the Home Office Crime Reduction initiative. For example, in some areas GPs and Health Visitors are provided with cameras to offer victims the opportunity to record and document assaults for use in current or future criminal or civil proceedings. In the US, police departments offer training to officers which emphasises the importance of the first ‘golden hour’ after the offence to collect evidence and provides advice on appropriate interrogative techniques for suspects.\textsuperscript{370} Similar training is delivered in the UK through the national police training package\textsuperscript{371} and local initiatives.\textsuperscript{372}

5.4. Protecting and Supporting Victims

Domestic Violence Units and specialist officers were first recommended in the Home Office Circular of 1990\textsuperscript{373} although specialist officers had been introduced at a local level before then. Since that time police areas have appointed domestic violence officers, and/or co-ordinators, and established dedicated units, but there are different types and levels of service provided across the country.\textsuperscript{374} In some areas specialist domestic violence units have been replaced with ‘Community Safety Units’, which deal with a range of issues, that may include racially motivated crime, child abuse and homophobic violence. In general victims’ experiences of specialist police responses have been positive, but research suggests that domestic violence work tends to be marginalised from mainstream policing, is poorly resourced and has low status.\textsuperscript{375} There have also been criticisms of the management of specialist domestic violence officers.\textsuperscript{376}

The role of domestic violence officers differs between forces and research is needed to examine the effectiveness of different models. In their study of police organisational structures relating to domestic
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violence, Plotnikoff and Woolfson found that no structure was any more or less problematic than others.\textsuperscript{377} Core duties of specialist officers can include investigation and securing prosecutions, co-ordinating services and/or supporting individual victims.\textsuperscript{378} Hoyle found that when contacting the police the vast majority of women victims, regardless of other wishes, wanted advice and information and that the emotional and practical support from domestic violence officers enabled some victims to continue with the prosecution process.\textsuperscript{379} However, a specialist officer’s role as a supporter, and ‘someone to talk to’, may present a conflict between the victim’s wishes and needs and the law enforcement role of the police service. Whilst specialist officers spend a great deal of time in the role of listener and counsellor, questions have been raised about the place of ‘intelligence led policing’ and the use of police powers in this role.\textsuperscript{380} In some areas specialist officers have ‘filled a gap’ due to an absence of advocacy projects and specialist support for victims.

The aim of the Domestic Violence Matters project in Islington, was for civilian advocates to make contact with victims within at least 24 hours of a call to the police, and to provide them with immediate support.\textsuperscript{381} The implementation of the project was hampered by inconsistent referrals, conflicts over ‘ownership’ of the project and ongoing negotiations about the respective roles of police officers and civilians.\textsuperscript{382} In her evaluation of the project Kelly observes that “substantial institutional barriers exist in the police which are hampering implementation of policy.”\textsuperscript{383} Nonetheless, service users “attributed their ability to end relationships and/or proceed with legal action” to the project, and it also appeared to increase confidence in the police and decrease repeat calls.\textsuperscript{384} Several projects part-funded by the Home office Crime Reduction Violence Against Women Initiatives provide access to specialist advocates and witness support workers who work alongside the police. There is some evidence from other countries that specialist domestic violence officers teamed with dedicated victim advocates have had a positive impact on rates of arrest, prosecution and conviction, as well as influencing court dispositions and sentencing.\textsuperscript{385} This is in addition to the impact on victims’ lives in terms of reduced violence, improved quality of life, social support and easier access to resources.\textsuperscript{386} The Domestic Violence Matters project and other similar initiatives suggest that victims benefit from a source of support which is independent of the criminal justice system, but with strong links to it, and which is available to all victims who contact the police (including those who are not involved in a prosecution).\textsuperscript{387} A specialised system of domestic violence advocates plays a crucial role in supporting and empowering victims who are not involved in criminal proceedings. An overview of
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different studies found that independent advocacy services can have a significant impact on the effectiveness of both police and prosecution responses.388

There has been increased attention to reported repeat victimisation in domestic violence and indications that “the risk of re-victimisation is greatest in the period immediately after victimisation.”389 There is as yet no clear framework for measures addressing the needs of victims who are still living with the perpetrator as well as those who are vulnerable to post-separation violence. Measures tend to be aimed at those living separately from the perpetrator including mobile phones and home alarms.390 Research has highlighted how, for instance, alarms may be valuable in making victims feel safer and reassured that violence is being taken seriously.391 In one domestic violence project, victims living apart from their partner were issued with personal alarms and offered the support of a community based domestic violence worker.392 The ‘graded response’ in the Killingbeck project in West Yorkshire depended on the number of incidents of police attendance and previous history of reported violence with interventions focussing on both the victim and the offender.393 The first ‘level’ involved a warning letter to the offender and an information letter for the victim. The second included installation of a home alarm, a visit from a domestic violence officer and ‘police watch’, which involves patrol cars making regular checks on the house.394 ‘Cocooning’ was used with a victim’s consent and involved neighbours and others in the support network watching out for the victim’s safety and calling the police when necessary.395 Evaluation of the project suggests that a combination of such responses can be successful in reducing repeat attendance and increasing time intervals between attendances, addressing chronic repeat offenders and encouraging victims to ask for police support.396 One of the challenges of making sense of data that focuses on repeat reporting is that a service which increases victim satisfaction may increase the likelihood of violence being reported to the police which may give a misleading impression when measuring the impact of interventions. We need to know more about the responses of victims to and usefulness to them of measures like ‘cocooning’. Further research has been undertaken into the West Yorkshire model but has yet to be published.

Safety planning, risk assessment and risk management have been discussed in previous sections, but it is worth highlighting particular issues in the context of policing. Recently, some police forces have focussed on developing tools for risk assessment and management in domestic violence cases. Such tools range from an aide memoir of risk factors for first-response officers, a multi-agency tool to assess risk and those which utilise a ‘standard, medium and high’ assessment for use by specialist officers and custody officers. None of these tools have been the subject of published evaluations to date. Their use raises a number of
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issues relating to the training required to implement such tools, systems for updating assessments, the validity of categorising risk according to police data only and questions of how such decisions are communicated to victims and how they affect decisions relating to perpetrators, including bail. There are also dangers in giving a subjective decision-making process the appearance of objectivity, when in fact the exercise of discretion may lead to very different outcomes of risk assessment tools used by police officers.  

There is little doubt that unevaluated risk assessment tools or those implemented by ill-trained staff with incomplete information could endanger victims and potentially leave organisations vulnerable to costly litigation. It has been suggested that whilst research in this area is still in its early stages, tools should be used to gather information, rather than as formal predictive instruments.  

In some jurisdictions, and some UK police forces, the focus is on safety planning with victims, which includes raising their awareness of risk. Such processes focus on the individual situation of the victim and may be particularly effective when carried out by independent victim advocates as opposed to criminal justice staff. For example, refusing to co-operate with a prosecution may be part of a victim’s safety plan, by forming a bargain with the ex-partner - she will not testify if he leaves her alone. For victims in this situation their goals may clash with those of the criminal justice system.  
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Effective police decision-making and evidence gathering is crucial to the prosecution response to domestic violence. The literature reviewed here relates to prosecution policies and practice, particularly focussing on the question of evidence and the victim’s role in the prosecution process. The issue of attrition will be discussed throughout, particularly drawing on the recent Northumberland study.  

6.1. Prosecution Policies and Practice

In accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors, the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) is under a duty to review all cases that are referred to it and can determine whether to continue with the existing charge or an alternative, or to discontinue the case. In making decisions there are two tests set out in the Code; the first is the ‘evidential test’ of whether there is sufficient evidence and a realistic prospect of conviction; then and only if the first test is satisfied, the second test is whether the public interest requires a prosecution. These two tests are applied to all criminal prosecutions and additional guidance exists in respect of domestic violence cases and the revised CPS Policy on Prosecuting Cases of Domestic Violence and accompanying Guidance for Prosecutors that were published in 2001. When considering the ‘public interest test’, prosecutors are requested to take into account “the consequences for the victim of the decision whether or not to prosecute, and any views expressed by the victim or the victim’s family” although sometimes the wider ‘public interest’ will prevail. The policy draws attention to a number of factors that assist a prosecutor in deciding whether it is in the public interest to prosecute even in cases where the victim has withdrawn support. These include: the seriousness of the offence; injuries (psychological and physical) caused to adult victim and children; use of a weapon; threats made since the attack; whether the defendant planned the attack; and the chances of re-offending, including previous criminal history. As was noted earlier, there is currently no established national system for multi-agency risk assessment or management system whereby all of this information is routinely passed between agencies and any such system is likely to come with its own dangers. In monitoring the outcomes of domestic violence cases it is not always clear whether cases are discontinued due to insufficient evidence or because it has been deemed not to be in the public interest.

In 1998, an HMCPSI inspection on domestic violence found that some prosecution decisions were being made without: information about the ability and willingness of the victim to give evidence; history of the violence in the relationship; the existence of civil proceedings; the future of the relationship; and the likelihood of violence recurring. It was concluded that the then current CPS policy was not complied with in a significant number of cases. A study of cases reaching court in the mid-1990s, found that ‘plea bargaining’ (where the prosecutor agrees to reduce the charge in return for the defendant pleading guilty)
was widespread in domestic violence cases.\textsuperscript{409} Whilst such practices may save witnesses from the trauma of a full trial, increase guilty pleas and save money for the public purse, they risk being perceived by victims as trivialising their experience.\textsuperscript{410} The on-going evaluation of pilot initiatives whereby the CPS rather than the police take responsibility for charging may provide useful information about the application of charging standards and ‘plea bargaining’. Indications from a recent study indicated that in one area at least practice has changed, that charges are maintained in a high proportion of cases and that charge reduction is uncommon.\textsuperscript{411}

There has been no comprehensive national study to examining the extent to which CPS practice in domestic violence has changed following the new policy and guidance. A review of HMCPsI area inspection reports from 2002 and 2003 indicate that although generally CPS policy appears to be applied appropriately; and in some areas there is good liaison with the police and other agencies, file samples show a mixed picture.\textsuperscript{412} The reports found examples of: failure to consult police in appropriate circumstances; late decisions; not seeking further information or reconsidering cases upon receipt of it; undercharging; and failure to pursue alternative charges. Other issues included failures to explore the reasons behind any victim retraction or to utilise alternative evidence or to consider compelling a victim to give evidence, as well as examples of failure to warn witnesses or to record bail conditions. In some areas there also appear to be problems ‘flagging’ cases as domestic violence, which indicates need for further development of police and CPS systems to ensure the accuracy of monitoring statistics relating to the numbers and outcomes of domestic violence cases. One HMCPsI area inspection report explicitly contradicts the suggestion that high rates of discontinuance are due to either the police charging on the basis of insufficient evidence or prosecutors proceeding with cases inappropriately.\textsuperscript{413} One function of the 2003 HMCPsI/HMIC inspection will be to examine compliance with the 2001 policy and the consistency of application of charging standards. Further research is also needed to analyse the effect that the presence of children has on the decision-making of both police and prosecutors.\textsuperscript{414}

6.2. Evidence

One of the main problems facing both the police and CPS is that of how to obtain sufficient evidence to progress with a case and secure a conviction. Research suggests that fear of giving evidence, particularly in the presence of the offender, is a key issue affecting whether victims support prosecutions.\textsuperscript{415} Ellison draws together the extensive research literature illustrating the stresses of giving evidence, particularly in
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front of the defendant and the ways this can lead to less clear, complete and accurate testimony.\textsuperscript{416} The Northumberland study indicates that retraction of statements (including as a result of pressure on or intimidation of victims by offenders, the parties being back together and child contact arrangements) is one of the main reasons for attrition at the prosecution stage of the criminal justice process.\textsuperscript{417} The CPS policy recommends the active consideration of other evidence to support the victim’s statement or as an alternative.\textsuperscript{418} Some examples of this kind of evidence were discussed in section five.

In the US specialised evidential rules have been developed to achieve convictions without a victim’s direct testimony, such as the admission of evidence of prior domestic violence against other alleged victims to show propensity.\textsuperscript{419} Other examples include reading out the victim’s statement in court, evidence from other witnesses, recorded calls to emergency services and photographs of injuries and the scene of the crime. Exceptions to the hearsay rule have also enabled admitting into evidence prior out of court statements of an absent complainant, including spontaneous statements at the time of the event and diary entries.\textsuperscript{420} In some jurisdictions ‘expert witnesses’ are permitted to explain to juries and the judiciary the dynamics of domestic violence which provide a context for making sense of victims’ behaviour such as not leaving a violent partner or refusing to give evidence.\textsuperscript{421} Such ‘experts’ include psychologists, specialist law enforcement officers, academics and refuge workers.\textsuperscript{422}

In England and Wales, a victim’s statement can be read out in evidence without the person having to testify, but is only admissible as evidence where direct oral evidence on the same issue would be allowed.\textsuperscript{423} The statement must have been made to a police officer and the witness must have refused to give evidence through fear or they are being ‘kept out of the way’ and the court must consider it in the interests of justice for the statement to be admitted.\textsuperscript{424} Despite encouragement to use this power,\textsuperscript{425} research in the mid 1990s found that it was rarely used.\textsuperscript{426} HMCPSI area inspections in 2002 indicate that some areas are making applications under this legislation.\textsuperscript{427} The Northumbria study highlighted examples of victims being summonsed to attend court but no examples of warrants being issued or arrests being made.\textsuperscript{428} There
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is a need for a comprehensive national study to examine the effectiveness of these and other measures to facilitate the victim’s presentation of evidence (such as the Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act to support vulnerable and intimidated witnesses). There is also a need to assess the impact of photographic evidence in terms of facilitating guilty pleas and convictions, as early indications are that this is highly effective. Legislative changes in the forthcoming Criminal Justice Bill will have a considerable impact on the processes of evidence gathering and prosecuting in all criminal cases, including domestic violence.

6.3. Victims and Prosecution

In 1998 HMCPSI found that 46% of domestic violence victims withdrew their support for a prosecution following an initial complaint. Research studies illuminate the range of reasons prompting victim withdrawal including: fear of retaliation; change in the partner’s behaviour; because the parties are back together; child contact; and because they believe that the outcome (such as a fine or a ‘bind over’) is not worth the trauma and inconvenience involved in the prosecution process. Further studies could usefully explore the factors which are associated with non-withdrawal, and how far previous experiences of the criminal justice system affect the decisions of victims.

There are certain standards of service for victims set down in the *Victim’s Charter*, such as the provision of information relating to the progress of the case and the CPS duty to consult the victim and take their interests into account, alongside a number of area initiatives relating to witness care. There is no legal right for a victim to meet the prosecutor before the trial due to the possibility of accusations by the defence of influencing or ‘coaching’ witnesses. Such meetings are regarded as essential case preparation in other common law jurisdictions. Consultation is underway by the CPS to consider whether prosecutors should have pre trial meetings with witnesses.

Several studies have reported that, despite the prosecutor’s limited contact with the victim, an individual’s decision not to give evidence tends to determine the outcome of the case. One study in the mid 1990s found that neither the police nor prosecutors appeared to distinguish between cases where the witness withdrew from fear or where it was due to personal or “familial reasons.” Another noted that it was not uncommon for a defence lawyer to tell the court that the couple were reconciled and “to produce the complainant like a rabbit from a hat.” The revised CPS policy introduced a system for prosecutors to ascertain from the police whether a ‘decision’ to withdraw is due to lack of protection, fear, shame and confusion, practical considerations relating to financial issues or children, feelings of guilt and attachment or a combination of all of these. The outcomes of future research and the HMCPSI/HMIC inspection should indicate the degree to which the new policy has changed practice.

---
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Although research is inconclusive as to the impact of prosecuting domestic violence offences in terms of reducing violence and increasing safety, recent trends in different jurisdictions have been towards ‘victimless’ or ‘state’ prosecutions’ (in which prosecutors treat cases as if no victim were available to testify, similar to a murder trial). Approaches to prosecution termed ‘hard’, ‘no drop’ policies in Australia, Canada and the US routinely compel survivors to testify, either against the individual’s wishes or as a public stance (with the victim’s consent) to protect them from later retaliation by the perpetrator. For example, in Duluth, Minnesota, victims are regularly subpoenaed to give evidence so that they are shielded from the responsibility of pursuing a prosecution and there also exists a strong network of support to guide people through the criminal system, such as specialist victim advocates. Ultimately victims can be imprisoned for contempt but sentences are usually to attend a domestic violence victim education and support programme or a community sentence. Australian research has demonstrated that a very low percentage of women become hostile witnesses once they are required to give evidence against a violent spouse in a criminal prosecution. In England and Wales there are powers to compel married and unmarried victims to be witnesses, who may be held in contempt of court for refusing to give evidence and fined or imprisoned. If victims do not voluntarily attend court as a witness they can be required to by witness summons or compelled by a witness warrant which may lead to their being arrested and bought to court, although the threat of such action may be sufficient. Research in the 1990s found that compellability provisions were rarely used in domestic violence cases. There are a range of reasons why prosecutors do not apply to the court to compel witnesses to give evidence, including reluctance to punish the victim, the low chance of achieving a conviction, violence being too trivial or too grave in terms of risk of reprisals, because the relationship is continuing or because it is over. Future studies are needed to analyse if this situation has changed in recent years (as CPS ‘snap shot’ monitoring seems to suggest). There is also a need for a longitudinal study to examine the views and circumstances of those who are summonsed or compelled as witnesses as some may, in hindsight, welcome the fact that the decision as to whether or not to give evidence was taken out of their hands.

Arguments for proactive prosecution policies include promoting the safety of immediate and future victims and relieving individuals of the burden of responsibility for a prosecution by clearly transferring it to the state. Concerns about such policies include; problems achieving convictions; insufficient attention to the complex reality of domestic violence; potential escalation of violence by the perpetrator and discouraging victims from reporting. Evidence on reporting in other jurisdictions is equivocal with studies pointing to a reporting decrease, no change, and an increase. The issue is a complex one. Some victims will call, or not call, the police irrespective of the response, in some cases victims may be deterred
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from calling but some who previously would not involve the police may do so when they are aware of a proactive response. At least one commentator has suggested that compelling testimony creates an adversarial relationship between a victim and prosecutors and implies that a victim is somehow to blame for not ending the violence. Some argue that the benefits of ‘no-drop’ policies outweigh their risks, in that they communicate a message to the community, perpetrator and survivor about the unacceptability of violence and do not allow perpetrators to extend their power and control into the criminal justice system.\footnote{Hanna, C. (1996) ‘No right to choose: Mandated victim participation in domestic violence prosecutions’, 109 Harvard Law Review 1850-1910 at 1865; Busch and Robertson (1993) op. cit. at 140}

In one US study it was found that retaining the potential for victims to drop charges, whilst supporting them to follow through could make victims safer, but only if they did not eventually choose to drop charges.\footnote{Durham, G. (1998) ‘The domestic violence dilemma: How our ineffective and varied responses reflect our conflicted views of the problem’, 71(3) Southern California Law Review 641-665 at 653} This indicates the importance of measures that simultaneously support and empower the victim and hold the perpetrator accountable. Some ‘soft’ no drop prosecution policies provide victims with support services, and only allow them to drop charges after counselling and the victim may have to appear in front of a judge to explain the reasons for not supporting a prosecution.\footnote{Corsilles (1994) op. cit. at 878-879 and 881}

In 2001, the CPS established a network of specialist domestic violence co-ordinators in each CPS area, but the impact of this initiative on the process and outcomes of prosecution has yet to be evaluated. In parts of Australia, Canada and the US a specialist prosecutor is assigned to each domestic violence case and contact between witnesses and prosecutors is a vital component of case preparation.\footnote{Ford, D. and Regoli, M. (1993) ‘Criminal prosecution of wife assaulters: Process, problems and effects’, in, Hilton, N. (Ed) (1993) Legal Responses to Wife Assault: Current Trends and Evaluations (Newbury Park, California: Sage) at 158} Consultation between victims and prosecutors, as well as effective police investigation, enables prosecutors to uncover intimidation and other factors influencing a victim’s decision to co-operate.\footnote{Cahn (1992) ibid. at 171} In some inquisitorial systems victims are allowed their own representatives in court and/or to sit in court alongside the prosecutor.\footnote{Cahn (1992) ibid. at 174} This enables the victim, in partnership with the prosecutor, to point out inconsistencies in the case of the defence. However, such formal partnerships may add to the burden and sense of responsibility of involvement in a prosecution and are not truly empowering unless accompanied by information and support through the process.

Some advocacy services in this country and in other jurisdictions provide this support and also have close links with prosecutors.\footnote{Ford, D. and Regoli, M. (1993) ‘Criminal prosecution of wife assaulters: Process, problems and effects’, in, Hilton, N. (Ed) (1993) Legal Responses to Wife Assault: Current Trends and Evaluations (Newbury Park, California: Sage) at 158} For example, a recent evaluation of a project in Cardiff found that advocates information and advice to prosecutors impacted on the prosecutors’ ability to make informed decisions relating to the case.\footnote{McCarthy, T. (1994) ‘Rethinking theories of victimology: Men’s violence against women’, in, Cook, S. and Bessant, J. (Eds) (1994) Women’s Encounters with Violence: Australian Experiences (California: Sage Publications) at 140} Independent advocacy services can provide victims with the support and information that is often crucial in enabling the giving of evidence.\footnote{Robinson (2003) op. cit.; For examples in other countries, see, Cahn (1992) op. cit. at 169-171; Kurz (1992) op. cit. at 34-35} Some US projects incorporate opportunities for education on domestic violence and familiarisation with legal proceedings on a one-to-one basis and in groups (known as ‘victim-witness clinics’, ‘court schools’ or ‘radical education groups’).\footnote{Robinson (2003) op. cit. at 49
In the context of the criminal justice system, there has been a distinction made between victims’ right to services and procedural rights to consultation and involvement in decisions relating to prosecution, bail, custody, sentencing, parole and rights to legal representation in court. In 2001, the ‘Personal Statement Scheme’ was introduced to give victims of crime the opportunity to say how they have been affected by the crime that is taken into account by the police, CPS and courts in decisions relating to, for example, proceeding with the prosecution and sentencing. There are some suggestions that the scheme is currently being used by victims as a means of informing the court and the perpetrator that they do not support the prosecution, possibly as part of their personal safety plan. In one of the HMCPSI area inspections an initiative has been identified whereby victims indicate a desire to proceed provided the perpetrator does not receive a custodial sentence, or is ordered to pay compensation and in some such cases defendants have pleaded guilty as a result. However, the Northumberland study found that few police officers had knowledge of the Personal Statement scheme. It would be useful to evaluate the various uses and effectiveness of the scheme on domestic violence cases, including victims’ and practitioners’ perspectives, and the outcomes of prosecution and sentencing.
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This section reviews the research relating to the criminal and civil courts in the context of domestic violence.

7.1. Experiences in Criminal Court

There is a need for more research as to the proportion of domestic violence criminal prosecutions that result in trials and in guilty pleas, but a common tactic by the defence seems to be to advise clients to postpone their plea in the hope that the victim will withdraw support in the interim. As with victims of other crime, domestic violence victims can find delay in the criminal justice system and uncertainty about dates of trial to be a major source of frustration and stress.466 There is also evidence that delay causes evidence to be less accurate and detailed.467 The consequences of delay have been highlighted in research and as a result of several pilot studies some areas have implemented a fast-track system so that cases reach court more swiftly. The effectiveness of these initiatives depends on efficient systems of information exchange and accurate charging. Delay in the existing system can work in the favour of a domestic violence victim, by allowing ‘breathing space’ to make decisions, if the perpetrator is kept in custody over night and then released on bail conditions whilst awaiting a trial date. Bail conditions can play an important role in protecting victims if they are enforced and if changes are immediately communicated to the victim. Annex B of the CPS Policy gives examples of typical bail conditions including residence, curfew, and restrictions on communication with the victim.468 The CPS policy recognises the importance of minimising delay and of keeping the victim informed throughout proceedings.469 Future research needs to evaluate the impact of delay in domestic violence cases and examine the patterns of use and enforcement of bail conditions.

Little empirical research has been conducted in the UK on the trial process in domestic violence cases. Survivors of domestic violence can be accompanied to court by a specialist victim advocate, Victim Support volunteer or police officer and the Witness Service supports all witnesses in the Magistrates and Crown Courts. Court visits can be arranged beforehand to familiarise the witness with the process. The Northumberland attrition study indicated that support for victims to enable them to go through the court process was crucial and the police were singled out as providing this.470

Once in court, survivors of domestic violence have similar experiences to other victims involved in criminal prosecutions in that they find their credibility undermined.471 Barristers should comply with the Bar Council Code of Conduct which states that they must not make statements or ask questions to “vilify, insult or annoy” a witness.472 However, tactics by the defence include “coercive questioning and intimidation tactics”,473 minimising and denying the violence, exploiting dynamics and myths about
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domestic violence and capitalising on the victim’s social isolation.\textsuperscript{474} Victims of domestic violence involved in a prosecution for rape face the same risk of secondary victimisation in court as all rape victims,\textsuperscript{475} but the relationship with the perpetrator makes it easier for the defence to argue consent.\textsuperscript{476} The close relationships defendants often appear to have with their legal representatives may add to a victim’s sense of isolation and betrayal if key points are not raised or the defence allegations and inaccuracies are not challenged.\textsuperscript{477} Prosecutors have a responsibility to challenge and rebut unjust criticisms and “derogatory assertions”,\textsuperscript{478} but research raises questions about how far this is translated into practice.\textsuperscript{479}

The women victims of domestic violence interviewed in the Northumberland study tended to feel let down by the court process particularly at what they perceived as inadequate outcomes, including plea bargaining and lowering of sentences.\textsuperscript{480} However women may feel stronger as a result of having ‘stood up to’ their partners in court. Ptacek examined the impact of judicial responses on women victims of domestic violence in the US and emphasised the importance of women’s sense of having been listened to and that their problem was recognised.\textsuperscript{481} As with other agency responses, those of judges and the courts are likely to affect future interaction with the criminal justice system which is especially important in relation to domestic violence given the levels of repeat victimisation. In the UK, there has been very little research examining attitudes of judges and magistrates to domestic violence. Magistrates are particularly important given that the majority of cases will take place in their courts. A recent study analysed magistrates’ attitudes to domestic violence and found that their reasoning in sentencing decisions reflected the themes of denying, minimising and justifying violence and victim blaming.\textsuperscript{482} Strategies suggested by various commentators to improve the responses of judges and magistrates to domestic violence include training, screening at the appointment stage, education, the use of courtroom monitoring, performance appraisal, media attention and meaningful disciplinary actions.\textsuperscript{483} Jury decision-making processes are another important area of research in the field of domestic violence but there are legislative limitations on the evaluation of jury deliberations.\textsuperscript{484}

7.2. Sentencing

The Sentencing Advisory Panel has made it clear that stranger and non-stranger rapes should be treated as equally serious but also suggests that provocation and stress during relationship breakdown might in some cases be a mitigating factor in sentencing.\textsuperscript{485} Such a suggestion contradicts the general trend within the criminal justice system towards holding perpetrators accountable and the need to recognise breach of trust
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in a relationship as an aggravating rather than a mitigating factor. In pleas to mitigation, defence solicitors can make use of the perpetrator’s relationship with the victim or bring other information about the victim in an attempt to reduce the sentence, classically that the two are ‘reconciled’. The Bar Council Code of Conduct limits the circumstances in which “derogatory” assertions about witnesses in pleas for mitigation can be made in mitigation but the victim relies on the prosecution to recognise false and derogatory statements and challenge them appropriately.  

Research in the mid 1990s illustrated that sentences in domestic violence cases do not reflect the seriousness of the offences and one study found that complainants felt strongly about this, especially when comparing sentences with other crimes. The same study found that conditional discharge was used more frequently in domestic violence than other cases of violence, whilst community sentences and imprisonment were used less and the latter sanctions were more likely if the couple were not living together. A significant proportion of domestic violence cases were concluded with a ‘bind over’, not just in cases where the victim withdrew or failed to attend court but also when a case could have been presented to the court and the witness had come to give evidence.

Recently a number of unduly lenient sentences in domestic violence cases have been appealed and there is clearly a need for a comprehensive study to compare sentences in domestic violence and homicide cases with sentences in other comparable cases. Several studies in the mid 1990s found that when perpetrators of domestic violence are prosecuted, they are less likely to be convicted and receive lower sentences than those who commit stranger offences. In the Northumberland study, of the 869 domestic violence incidents recorded by the police only 37 (4.3%) resulted in convictions for criminal offences, with sentences comprising mostly fines and only four custodial sentences. There were twenty four ‘bind overs’ resulting from Breach of the Peace charges, five fines and one conditional discharge for being drunk and disorderly. There are indications from research that if domestic violence cases reach court then many result in guilty pleas, but this issue requires further examination, including analysis of the factors which influence the perpetrator’s decision to plead guilty. Sentences can include requirements to attend a domestic violence perpetrator programme or some kind of alcohol or drug treatment program and breach may result in additional penalties, although use of these options is as yet not widespread. Research is needed to analyse the effectiveness and patterns of enforcement of various sentencing options, including custody, ‘restraining orders’ made under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and perpetrator programmes.

There are around forty perpetrator programmes in the United Kingdom run by the voluntary sector and probation services and in some projects, attendance is mandated by a court as part of a probation order. The model adopted most widely in Britain focuses on cognitive-behavioural work to achieve individual change alongside education about the power and control dynamics of domestic violence and encouraging
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men to take personal responsibility for their behaviour. The RESPECT Statement of Principles and Minimum Standards of Practice states that the primary aim of work with perpetrators is to increase the safety of women and children. On-going evaluation of the effectiveness of various programmes, particularly in the National Probation Directorate, will inform future work with perpetrators.

Some of the issues around perpetrator programmes include inconsistent provision and use in sentencing and failure to exercise sanctions when conditions are breached. Several researchers have identified particular methodological problems with evaluating the effectiveness of perpetrator programmes. There is evidence of high drop out rates and though there are indications of a reduction of physical violence for those who complete treatment, the programmes are less impressive in regard to verbal and emotional abuse. One study concludes that these programmes are not a “panacea”, but can play a positive role in a co-ordinated community response. It has been suggested that research should not focus on whether treatment works, but on what treatment works best on which types of client and under what conditions. This would enable a more diversified and targeted approach. Some of the dangers of perpetrator programmes are that they may compete for resources with services for survivors, give victims and others (including those making decisions relating to child contact) false hope that the perpetrator will change. Fears have also been expressed that perpetrators may learn other non-physical means of abusing and controlling their partner. These are some of the many reasons why programmes should always be run alongside support services for victims, as is the case in the Duluth programme and similar UK initiatives. In an overview of US evaluations, Gondolf suggests that services for victims may actually determine programme outcomes for perpetrators.

Generally, the interaction between criminal justice practitioners and victims after sentence is as limited as that during the prosecution process. For victims who have received support from, for example, specialist domestic violence officers, they may even lose a source of support. In some Domestic Violence Courts in
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the US and other countries, review hearings are incorporated into the system and are heard by the same judge. Regular judicial interaction with parties can increase therapeutic effectiveness by showing victims that they are valued, as well as holding the perpetrator accountable. On-going support and information about the perpetrators’ progress when on a perpetrator program, in prison or on probation can help a victim make more informed safety plans and assess risk.

‘Restorative’ justice approaches have been proposed by some commentators as an alternative to criminal sanctions for domestic violence. A number of researchers and commentators have highlighted why methods such as mediation and other ‘restorative’ approaches are potentially dangerous in the context of domestic violence. Within the police service there are a huge range of responses labelled ‘restorative’ and no minimum standards or guidance applying nationally. No current initiative exists which diverts domestic violence cases from standard criminal justice sanctions to ‘restorative’ methods and in fact, current police policy is explicitly not to ‘mediate’ between parties in a domestic violence situation. Projects that state that they adopt a ‘restorative’ approach tend to do so, not as part of a criminal sentence or as an alternative to criminal prosecution, but as an additional option within a multi-agency response. For example, family group conferences are a potential means of empowering survivors to make decisions and formulate safety plans with the assistance of a wider support network but have systems that allow for the fact that it may not be safe to include perpetrators in such processes. The ‘Safe Space Project’ in Duluth brings the group that a victim defines as her support system together to establish her physical safety and to hold the perpetrator accountable. However, initiatives that do not involve the perpetrator do not tend to fit current popular understanding of ‘restorative justice’ within the criminal justice system. One problem is that the term is used to describe a range of different initiatives within and outside the criminal justice system, some of which are clearly inappropriate in responding to domestic violence. It is fundamentally important, not only to ensure that all such responses are thoroughly evaluated but that victims are not endangered whilst perpetrators are involved in particular responses.

7.3. Links between the Criminal and Civil Court Systems

One recently identified area for future policy development has been to address the gaps between the different parts of the legal system, which may result in duplication of effort, wasted resources and ineffective or even contradictory responses to victims. For example, the effectiveness of the enforcement
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of civil court orders has been questioned\textsuperscript{514} and it has been suggested that breach of such orders should be made a criminal offence to increase sentencing options and take the burden of enforcement from the victim. However, potential negative outcomes include: the possibility of raising the burden of proof in initial civil hearings; more perpetrators contesting applications for orders and breaches; less ‘choice’ for victims in enforcing orders; and the possibility that victims will be further encouraged to use the civil rather than the criminal system for protection.\textsuperscript{515} Other initiatives that are currently under discussion are those to share information between the civil and criminal systems such as a national register of convicted domestic violence offenders, civil orders and child contact restrictions.

In some parts of Australia, Canada and the United States, there are integrated ‘domestic violence courts’, that combine civil and criminal remedies by, for example, enabling criminal courts to issue civil orders.\textsuperscript{516} In the Dade County Domestic Violence Court in Florida, for example, specially trained judges hear cases and factor elements into the proceedings like post-sentencing case reviews to provide on-going monitoring.\textsuperscript{517} Benefits of such initiatives include increased consistency of sentences and the US National Institute of Justice ‘Judicial Oversight Demonstration Initiative’ aims to measure the impact of similar approaches in terms of reduced offending and increased victim safety.\textsuperscript{518} Unfortunately effective evaluation of integrated responses to domestic violence in other countries which include specialist courts are under developed although there are early indications of positive impacts.\textsuperscript{519}

In the UK unified jurisdictions have not yet been established, but the term ‘domestic violence court’ has been applied to various magistrates and crown courts in which attempts are made to timetable domestic violence cases on particular days.\textsuperscript{520} Such approaches facilitate the victims’ access to specialist services, including advocates and ensure that cases are heard by specially trained magistrates who are aware of issues such as risk factors and the need for particular bail conditions such as restrictions on child contact. The Northumberland study suggests that reference to contact between children and alleged offenders leads to more lenient outcomes in criminal courts in terms of bail conditions and sentences and indicates a need for a closer relationship between civil and criminal procedures.\textsuperscript{521}

In the UK an unimplemented provision in the Family Law Act 1996, allows third parties, such as the police or another agency, to apply for a civil court order on a victim’s behalf.\textsuperscript{522} Similar powers have been used in Australia and parts of the United States.\textsuperscript{523} This takes the onus off a victim to apply for an order sparing the trauma and delay and transferring the financial cost of legal proceedings. Some Australian women have suggested that their partners were more likely to adhere to an order when taken out by an outside
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Whilst the potential of disempowering victims by giving legal actors more power has been recognised in Australia, agencies representing survivors suggest that in practice the benefits have outweighed the risks.\textsuperscript{525}
8. FUTURE RESEARCH

This literature review has identified a number of important gaps in current knowledge, which it is crucial for further research to address. We need to examine not just the effectiveness of existing policies but the institutional barriers to their implementation. Other research questions need to focus on the impact of various initiatives on perpetrators, victims and on the wider public. Research studies need to distinguish the effect on the victim from the effect on the perpetrator of, for example, support, outreach and advocacy services, arrest, prosecution, different criminal sentences (including custodial, community and perpetrator programmes) and civil court orders. Research also needs to examine the impact of multi-agency responses in the criminal justice system, including those that provide specialist victim advocates, outreach, support groups, and service user consultation mechanisms. Whilst we need to know the extent to which practitioners are implementing domestic violence policy, we also need to know how victims evaluate their responses and ultimately, the impact which they have on the prevalence of domestic violence. For example, there should be a study of the effectiveness of different police models of response to domestic violence, with different combinations of investigation and co-ordinating responses, as well as the impact of prosecution policies with a view to establishing shared minimum standards for the police and prosecution response.

The linchpin for effective monitoring and evaluation will be systems that allow cases of domestic violence to be ‘tracked’ between agencies to assess the effectiveness of responses to domestic violence. We need to know more about the intervening factors in cases in which a perpetrator both continues and escalates violence and where that behaviour ceases, particularly examining the effectiveness of early intervention in limiting the damaging effects of domestic violence. Rather than asking why victims do not report violence or withdraw their support for prosecution it may be more sensible to learn more about the decision-making processes of those who continue to support criminal justice interventions despite these obstacles. We need to understand the reasons for satisfaction and perceptions of effectiveness to ensure that agency and criminal justice responses are utilised. Linked with this is the need to understand the decision-making processes and influences of criminal justice practitioners, including police officers, prosecutors, judges and magistrates. There are many challenges to policy makers and researchers in commissioning and undertaking research which is both longitudinal and examines the decision-making processes and cultural influences on victims and practitioners and partnerships. It is also crucial that we develop effective comparative research strategies and learn from the experiences of other jurisdictions, both in Europe and throughout the rest of the world including developing countries.526

This review has identified throughout specific issues that need to be addressed as part of a strategic domestic violence research agenda. There are three core principles that could be used to guide measurement of the effectiveness or ‘success’ of all domestic violence interventions. The first is the increased safety of adult and child victims, including a reduction in repeat victimisation and domestic homicides. The second is the reduction and prevention of domestic violence by holding perpetrators accountable and educating future offenders. The third is the increased satisfaction of victims and children (emphasising the importance of survivor consultation). One edited collection that compared patterns of domestic violence in different societies concluded that both ‘sanctions’ for perpetrators and ‘sanctuary’ for

526 ibid at 407-8.
victims were crucial. Measures which address all three of these principles are crucial in evaluations of any domestic violence intervention. The investment in any such research would be more than justified if it existed alongside a clear commitment, in all sectors, to mainstream any lessons learnt.
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